

ANALYTIC STUDY OF SINGULAR CURVES

YUKITAKA ABE

ABSTRACT. We study singular curves from analytic point of view. We give completely analytic proofs for the Serre duality and a generalized Abel's theorem. We also reconsider Picard varieties, Albanese varieties and generalized Jacobi varieties of singular curves analytically. We call an Albanese variety considered as a complex Lie group an analytic Albanese variety. We investigate them in detail. For a non-singular curve (a compact Riemann surface) X , there is the relation between the meromorphic function fields on X and on its Jacobi variety $J(X)$. We extend this relation to the case of singular curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Jacobi varieties and Picard varieties of compact Riemann surfaces and their relation are a classical theme. In the middle of the twenty century, a generalization of these subjects to singular curves was studied by several authors. We think that studies in this direction had been influenced by the monograph of Severi "Funzioni Quasi Abelianne". The treatment of the above studies was completely algebraic. A generalized Jacobi variety of a singular curve was first defined algebraically by Rosenlicht. We analytically consider generalized Jacobi varieties. A commutative algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ is analytically a commutative complex Lie group. We get some information about analytic properties of them.

We treat all of materials completely analytic. We use some notations in Serre's book "Groupes algébriques et corps de classes". Let X be a projective, irreducible, and non-singular algebraic curve (i.e. a compact Riemann surface), and let S be a finite subset of X . We denote by $\mathfrak{m}$ a modulus with support S . Endowing a suitable equivalence relation on S , we obtain a singular curve $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by $\mathfrak{m}$. Rational functions (i.e. meromorphic functions) φ with $\varphi \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ were considered in the above Serre's book. A generalized Abel's theorem was formulated in terms of these functions. The condition " $\varphi \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ " means that φ takes the common value 1 at each point of S . If the set of singularities consists of the only one point, this condition is appropriate. However it becomes considering very special functions in the general case. We modify this part. We consider meromorphic functions which take each value c_Q at each singular point Q in $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. We develop the theory using these functions. We give a new formulation of a generalized Abel's theorem in terms of such natural concept. And we give a completely analytic proof of it. We also reconsider Picard varieties and Albanese varieties of singular curves. We consider Albanese varieties analytically. An Albanese variety considered as a complex Lie group is called an analytic Albanese variety. We show that an analytic Albanese variety has the universality property.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30F10, 32G20; Secondary 14H40.

Key words and phrases. singular curves, generalized Jacobi varieties, Albanese varieties, abelian functions.

The abelian function field on the Jacobi variety $J(X)$ of X is generated by the fundamental abelian functions which are constructed by generators of the meromorphic function field on X . This relation is generalized to the case of singular curves.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state the construction of singular curves from a compact Riemann surface. Considering an equivalence relation R on S , we set $\overline{S} = S/R$. If $\mathfrak{m}$ is a modulus with support S , then $(X \setminus S) \cup \overline{S}$ has the structure of 1-dimensional compact reduced complex space. It is a singular curve $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ defined by $\mathfrak{m}$. For the convenience of readers, we also give a proof of the Riemann-Roch theorem there.

Section 3 is devoted to a completely analytic proof of the Serre duality. Here we introduce two special sheaves for the analytic proof.

In Section 4, we give a new formulation of a generalized Abel's theorem after defining a multiconstant on the singularities. We also need a special sheaf for its proof. We emphasize that our proof is completely analytic.

Divisor classes, Picard varieties and Albanese varieties of singular curves are discussed in Section 5. An Albanese variety is analytically considered as a commutative complex Lie group, which we call an analytic Albanese variety. We show that an analytic Albanese variety is the product of copies of $\mathbb{C}$, copies of $\mathbb{C}^*$ and a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0 in Section 5.6. Let $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ be the analytic Albanese variety of a singular curve $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ constructed from a compact Riemann surface X of genus g . The period map $\varphi : X \setminus S \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ admits $\mathfrak{m}$ for a modulus, and is a holomorphic embedding if $g \geq 1$. Furthermore we prove that the map $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is surjective, where π is the genus of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $(X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}$ is the symmetric product of degree π (Theorem 5.17). As a corollary we obtain that the divisor classes of degree 0 on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ are isomorphic. In Section 5.8 the universality of analytic Albanese varieties is proved.

In Section 6 further properties of analytic Albanese varieties are studied. We determine period matrices of analytic Albanese varieties of general singular curves in Section 6.1. In Section 6.2 we fully study curves with nodes in the case $\pi = 2$. Let $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ be two singular curves of genus 2 with a node constructed from a complex torus X . Then we determine the necessary and sufficient condition for the biholomorphic equivalence of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}'}$. In Section 6.3 we show that for any singular curve $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ whose singularity is the only cusp we have $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong J(X) \times \mathbb{C}$.

The last chapter is devoted to the study of meromorphic function fields. In the non-singular case there is the connection between the two meromorphic function fields $\text{Mer}(X)$ and $\text{Mer}(J(X))$ on X and on its Jacobi variety $J(X)$ respectively. The field $\text{Mer}(J(X))$ is generated by the fundamental abelian functions belonging to $\text{Mer}(X)$. This relation is generalized to the case of singular curves. Let $A = \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ be the analytic Albanese variety of a singular curve $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. We denote by $\overline{A}$ the standard compactification of A . Then we obtain $\text{Mer}(\overline{A}) \cong \text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)})$, where π is the genus of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. We also study the correspondence of subfields κ of $\text{Mer}(\overline{A})$ which admit an algebraic addition theorem and the birational equivalence classes of singular curves $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ with the same genus π .

2. SINGULAR CURVES

2.1. Construction of singular curves. Let X be an irreducible non-singular complex projective algebraic curve (i.e. a compact Riemann surface). We denote by $\mathcal{O}_X$ the structure sheaf on X . Let S be a finite subset of X . We give an equivalence relation R on S . We define the quotient set $\overline{S} := S/R$ of S by R . We set

$$\overline{X} := (X \setminus S) \cup \overline{S}.$$

We induce to $\overline{X}$ the quotient topology by the canonical projection $\rho : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$. Then $\overline{X}$ is a compact Hausdorff space. We define a modulus with support S , according to Serre([16]).

Definition 2.1. A modulus $\mathbf{m}$ with support S is the data of an integer $\mathbf{m}(P) > 0$ for each point $P \in S$.

A modulus $\mathbf{m}$ with support S is also considered as a positive divisor on X . We use the same notation

$$\mathbf{m} = \sum_{P \in S} \mathbf{m}(P)P.$$

We may assume $\deg \mathbf{m} \geq 2$.

Let $\text{Mer}(X)$ be the field of meromorphic functions on X . For any $f \in \text{Mer}(X)$ and any $P \in X$, we denote by $\text{ord}_P(f)$ the order of f at P .

Definition 2.2. Let $f, g \in \text{Mer}(X)$. We write

$$f \equiv g \pmod{\mathbf{m}}$$

if $\text{ord}_P(f - g) \geq \mathbf{m}(P)$ for any $P \in S$.

Let $\rho_*\mathcal{O}_X$ be the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_X$ by the projection $\rho : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$. For any $Q \in \overline{S}$ we denote by $\mathcal{I}_Q$ the ideal of $(\rho_*\mathcal{O}_X)_Q$ formed by the function f with $\text{ord}_P(f) \geq \mathbf{m}(P)$ for any $P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)$. We define a sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{m}}$ on $\overline{X}$ by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{m},Q} := \begin{cases} (\rho_*\mathcal{O}_X)_Q = \mathcal{O}_{X,Q} & \text{if } Q \in X \setminus S, \\ \mathbb{C} + \mathcal{I}_Q & \text{if } Q \in \overline{S}. \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain a 1-dimensional compact reduced complex space $(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{m}})$, which we denote by $X_{\mathbf{m}}$.

Conversely, any reduced and irreducible singular curve is obtained as above.

2.2. Genus of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$. For any $Q \in X_{\mathbf{m}}$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_Q &:= \dim((\rho_*\mathcal{O}_X)_Q / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{m},Q}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dim(\mathcal{O}_{X,Q} / \mathcal{O}_{X,Q}) = 0 & \text{if } Q \in X \setminus S, \\ \dim((\rho_*\mathcal{O}_X)_Q / (\mathbb{C} + \mathcal{I}_Q)) = \dim((\rho_*\mathcal{O}_X)_Q / \mathcal{I}_Q) - 1 & \text{if } Q \in \overline{S}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\delta := \sum_{Q \in X_{\mathbf{m}}} \delta_Q = \deg \mathbf{m} - \#\overline{S}.$$

Let g be the genus of X . We define the genus π of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ by

$$\pi := g + \delta.$$

2.3. Divisors prime to S . A divisor D on X is written as

$$D = \sum_{P \in X} D(P)P, \quad D(P) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $D(P) = 0$ except a finite number of $P \in X$.

Definition 2.3. A divisor D on X is said to be prime to S if $D(P) = 0$ for $P \in S$.

We denote by $\text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ the group of divisors prime to S . We have a homomorphism $\deg : \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ be the field of meromorphic functions on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. We may consider $\text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset \text{Mer}(X)$ by the map $\text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \rightarrow \text{Mer}(X)$, $f \mapsto f \circ \rho$. Let $f \in \text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. It defines the divisor

$$(f) = \sum_{Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}}} \text{ord}_Q(f)Q,$$

where $\text{ord}_Q(f) = \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{ord}_P(f \circ \rho)$.

Definition 2.4. Let $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. We write $D_1 \sim D_2$ if there exists $f \in \text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ such that $D_1 - D_2 = (f)$.

Since the relation “ $\sim$ ” is an equivalence relation on $\text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$, we have the group of divisor classes $\overline{\text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})} := \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) / \sim$.

Definition 2.5. A divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is called a positive divisor if $D(Q) \geq 0$ for any $Q \in X \setminus S$, and we write it $D \geq 0$. Furthermore, D is said to be strictly positive if $D \geq 0$ and $D \neq 0$, in this case we write $D > 0$.

For any $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ we write $D_1 \geq D_2$ (resp. $D_1 > D_2$) if $D_1 - D_2 \geq 0$ (resp. $D_1 - D_2 > 0$).

2.4. A sheaf associated with a divisor. Let $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset \text{Div}(X)$. We define

$$L(D) := \{f \in \text{Mer}(X); (f) \geq -D\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}(D)$ the sheafification of $L(D)$ on X . Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)$ be the sheaf on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)_Q := \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m},Q} & \text{if } Q \in \overline{S}, \\ \mathcal{L}(D)_Q & \text{if } Q \in X \setminus S. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)$ is a coherent sheaf, for it is locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Proposition 2.6. Let $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. If $D_2 \geq D_1$, then

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_1)) \subset H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_2)).$$

Proof. There exists $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ with $D \geq 0$ such that $D_2 = D_1 + D$. For any $f \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_1))$, we have

$$(f) \geq -D_1 = -D_2 + D \geq -D_2.$$

Then $f \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_2))$. □

Since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(0) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, the following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 2.7. We have

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(0)) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Proposition 2.8. *Let $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. If $\deg D < 0$, then*

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) = 0.$$

Proof. We suppose that there exists $f \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$ with $f \neq 0$. Since $(f) \geq -D$, we have

$$0 = \deg(f) \geq -\deg D > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 2.9. *We have*

$$\dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \pi.$$

Proof. By an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain the following long cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$H^q(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \quad \text{for all } q \geq 0,$$

especially

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathbb{C} = H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

And we have $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$ for $\text{supp}(\rho_* \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \overline{S}$. From

$$\rho_* \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \bigoplus_{Q \in \overline{S}} \mathbb{C}_Q^{\delta_Q}$$

it follows that

$$\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \sum_{Q \in \overline{S}} \delta_Q = \delta.$$

Then we obtain

$$\dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) + \delta = g + \delta = \pi$$

by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\delta} \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\square$

2.5. Riemann-Roch Theorem (first version).

Theorem 2.10. *Let $X, S, \mathfrak{m}, X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be as above. Let $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Then, $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$ and $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$ are finite dimensional, and we have*

$$\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) - \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) = \deg D + 1 - \pi.$$

Proof. (I) The case $D = 0$.

By Proposition 2.7 we have $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(0)) = \mathbb{C}$. Also we have $\dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \pi$ by Proposition 2.9. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(0) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we obtain the assertion.

(II) The case $D \geq 0$.

We prove the assertion by induction on $d := \deg D$. When $d = 0$, it is the case (I).

Let $d \geq 1$. We assume that it is true for $0 \leq \deg D \leq d - 1$. Let $D = P_1 + \cdots + P_{d-1} + P$. We set $D^- := P_1 + \cdots + P_{d-1}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_P \longrightarrow 0.$$

It gives the following exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-)) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{C}_P) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-))$ is of finite dimension, so is $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$. Furthermore we have

$$\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \leq \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-)) + 1 < +\infty.$$

Therefore, all vector spaces in the above exact sequence are finite dimensional. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-)) + \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) - \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{C}_P) \\ &+ \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-)) - \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain by the assumption of induction

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) - \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \\ &= \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-)) - \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^-)) + 1 \\ &= \deg D^- + 1 - \pi + 1 = \deg D + 1 - \pi. \end{aligned}$$

(III) The general case.

Let

$$D = P_1 + \cdots + P_n - Q_1 - \cdots - Q_m \quad (P_i \neq Q_j).$$

We show the assertion by induction on m . When $m = 0$, it is the case (II).

Let $m \geq 1$. We assume that it is true till $m - 1$. We set

$$D^+ := P_1 + \cdots + P_n - Q_1 - \cdots - Q_{m-1}$$

and $Q := Q_m$. Since $D = D^+ - Q$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^+) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we obtain the following long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^+)) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{C}_Q) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^+)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{C}_Q) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \leq \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^+)) + 1 < +\infty$$

and

$$\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \leq \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^+)) < +\infty.$$

As in the case (II) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) - \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \\ &= \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^+)) - \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D^+)) - 1 \\ &= \deg D^+ + 1 - \pi - 1 = \deg D + 1 - \pi. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. ANALYTIC PROOF OF THE SERRE DUALITY

3.1. **Sheaf $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$.** Let $U \subset X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be an open set. We define

$\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(U) := \{\text{a meromorphic 1-form } \omega \text{ on } \rho^{-1}(U) \text{ satisfying the following condition } (*)\}.$

The condition $(*)$:

For any $Q \in U$ and any $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m},Q}$, we have

$$\sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(\rho^* f \omega) = 0.$$

Then a presheaf $\{\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(U), r_V^U\}$ defines a sheaf $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where r_V^U is the restriction map. This sheaf $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is called the duality sheaf on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in general.

Let Ω be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic 1-forms on X . The direct image $\rho_*\Omega$ of Ω is considered as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -submodule of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset \text{Div}(X)$. For any open subset W of X we define

$$\Omega(D)(W) := \{\text{a meromorphic 1-form } \eta \text{ on } W \text{ with } (\eta) \geq -D \text{ on } W\}.$$

Then a presheaf $\{\Omega(D)(W), r_{W'}^W\}$ gives a sheaf $\Omega(D)$ on X . We define a sheaf $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)$ on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)_Q := \begin{cases} \Omega_{\mathfrak{m},Q} & \text{if } Q \in \overline{S}, \\ \Omega(D)_Q & \text{if } Q \in X \setminus S. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(0) = \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

The Serre duality is represented as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Serre duality). *For any $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ we have*

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D)) \cong H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^*,$$

where $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^*$ is the dual space of $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$.

The purpose of this section is to give a completely analytic proof of it.

3.2. **Sheaves $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)}$.** Let $U \subset X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be an open set. We define

$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)}(U) := \{\text{a } C^\infty (1,0)\text{-form } \omega \text{ on } U \setminus (U \cap \overline{S}) \text{ satisfying the following condition } (\star)\}.$

The condition $(\star)$:

For any $Q \in U \cap \overline{S}$ we let $\rho^{-1}(Q) = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. Take an open neighbourhood V of Q in U such that

$$\rho^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k V_i \text{ (disjoint union), } P_i \in V_i,$$

(V_i, z_i) is a coordinate neighbourhood of P_i with $z_i(P_i) = 0$ and there exists a C^∞ function φ_i on $V_i \setminus \{P_i\}$ with $\rho^*\omega = \varphi_i dz_i$. Then the limit $\lim_{P \rightarrow P_i} \varphi_i(P) z_i(P)^{m(P_i)}$ exists and there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $C_i := \{P \in V_i; |z_i(P)| = r_i\}$ with $0 < r_i < \varepsilon_0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \int_{C_i} \rho^*\omega = 0,$$

where C_i has the anticlockwise direction.

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)}$ the sheaf given by a presheaf $\{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)}(U), r_V^U\}$ on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. A sheaf

$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)}$ is the image of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)}$ by the operator d . It is obvious from the definitions that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. The following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 3.2. *The sheaves $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)}$ are fine.*

Then the above exact sequence is a fine resolution of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Hence we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)}) / dH^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)}).$$

3.3. Residues. As shown in the preceding section, any $\xi \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$ has a representative $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)})$.

Definition 3.3. For any $\xi \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$ we define its residue $\text{Res}(\xi)$ by

$$\text{Res}(\xi) := \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^* \omega,$$

where $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)})$ is a representative of ξ .

Proposition 3.4. *The residue $\text{Res}(\xi)$ of ξ is well-defined.*

Proof. Let $\overline{S} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_N\}$. We set

$$\rho^{-1}(Q_i) = \{P_{i1}, \dots, P_{i,k(i)}\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then we have

$$S = \{P_{11}, \dots, P_{1,k(1)}, P_{21}, \dots, P_{N,k(N)}\}.$$

For any $i = 1, \dots, N$, there exists an open neighbourhood W_i of Q_i such that if we denote by U_{ij} the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(W_i)$ containing P_{ij} , then

$$\rho^{-1}(W_i) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{k(i)} U_{ij}.$$

Let (V_{ij}, z_{ij}) be a coordinate neighbourhood of P_{ij} such that $z_{ij}(P_{ij}) = 0$ and $V_{ij} \subset U_{ij}$. For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we set

$$\Delta_{ij}(\varepsilon) := \{P \in V_{ij}; |z_{ij}(P)| < \varepsilon\}.$$

We denote by $C_{ij}(\varepsilon)$ the boundary of $\Delta_{ij}(\varepsilon)$ with positive direction.

Let $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)})$ be any representative of ξ . We can take W_i so small that there exists $\eta_i \in H^0(\overline{W_i}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)})$ with $\omega = d\eta_i$ on $\overline{W_i} \setminus \{Q_i\}$ by the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)}$.

Since $\rho^*\omega = d(\rho^*\eta_i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{X \setminus (\cup_{ij} \Delta_{ij}(\varepsilon))} \rho^*\omega \\
&= \iint_{X \setminus (\cup_i \rho^{-1}(W_i))} \rho^*\omega + \iint_{\cup_i (\rho^{-1}(W_i) \setminus \cup_j \Delta_{ij}(\varepsilon))} \rho^*\omega \\
&= \iint_{X \setminus (\cup_i \rho^{-1}(W_i))} \rho^*\omega + \int_{\cup_i \partial(\rho^{-1}(W_i))} \rho^*\eta_i - \int_{\cup_{ij} C_{ij}(\varepsilon)} \rho^*\eta_i \\
&= \iint_{X \setminus (\cup_i \rho^{-1}(W_i))} \rho^*\omega + \int_{\cup_i \partial(\rho^{-1}(W_i))} \rho^*\eta_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k(i)} \int_{C_{ij}(\varepsilon)} \rho^*\eta_i \right) \\
&= \iint_{X \setminus (\cup_i \rho^{-1}(W_i))} \rho^*\omega + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\partial(\rho^{-1}(W_i))} \rho^*\eta_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the last expression in the above equalities is independent on ε , we have the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{X \setminus (\cup_{ij} \Delta_{ij}(\varepsilon))} \rho^*\omega = \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^*\omega.$$

Furthermore, we see by the above argument that $\text{Res}(\xi)$ is determined independently of the choice of a representative ω of ξ . $\square$

Let $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}$ be the sheaf of germs of meromorphic 1-forms on X . Consider an open covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Definition 3.5. A cochain $\mu = \{\omega_i\} \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \rho_*\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ is an $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -distribution if

$$\omega_j - \omega_i \in H^0(U_i \cap U_j, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}) \quad \text{for any } i, j \in I.$$

If $\mu = \{\omega_i\} \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \rho_*\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ is an $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -distribution, then $\delta\mu \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$, where δ is the coboundary operator. We denote by $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$ the cohomology class given by $\delta\mu$.

Definition 3.6. Let $\mu = \{\omega_i\} \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \rho_*\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ be an $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -distribution. For any $Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we define the residue $\text{Res}_Q(\mu)$ of μ at Q by

$$\text{Res}_Q(\mu) := \text{Res}_Q(\omega_i) = \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(\rho^*\omega_i) \quad \text{for some } i \text{ with } Q \in U_i.$$

Remark. We see that the definition of $\text{Res}_Q(\mu)$ is independent of the choice of i with $Q \in U_i$, by the definition of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We define

$$\text{Res}(\mu) := \sum_{Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}}} \text{Res}_Q(\mu).$$

Remark. The residue $\text{Res}(\mu)$ does not change if we take a refinement of $\mathfrak{U}$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\mu = \{\omega_i\} \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \rho_*\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ be an $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -distribution. Then we have the cohomology class $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$. In this case, we have

$$\text{Res}(\mu) = \text{Res}([\delta\mu]).$$

Proof. We first note that $\delta\mu = \{\omega_j - \omega_i\} \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)})$. Since $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)}$ is a fine sheaf, there exists a cochain $\{\sigma_i\} \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)})$ such that

$$\omega_j - \omega_i = \sigma_j - \sigma_i \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j.$$

The operator d has the decomposition $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$. Since

$$d(\sigma_j - \sigma_i) = d(\omega_j - \omega_i) = \bar{\partial}(\omega_j - \omega_i) = 0,$$

$d\sigma_j = d\sigma_i$ on $U_i \cap U_j$. Then there exists a 2-form $\tau \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(2)})$ such that $\tau|_{U_i} = d\sigma_i$ on U_i . The form τ is a representative of $[\delta\mu]$.

We assume that $Q_1, \dots, Q_n \in X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \bar{S} = X \setminus S$ are the all poles of μ on $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \bar{S}$. We set $X'_{\mathfrak{m}} := X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$. Since

$$\sigma_i - \omega_i = \sigma_j - \omega_j \quad \text{on } X'_{\mathfrak{m}} \cap U_i \cap U_j,$$

there exists $\sigma \in H^0(X'_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)})$ such that $\sigma = \sigma_i - \omega_i$ on $X'_{\mathfrak{m}} \cap U_i$. Then we have

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_i - d\omega_i = d\sigma_i \quad \text{on } X'_{\mathfrak{m}} \cap U_i.$$

Thus we obtain that $\tau = d\sigma$ on $X'_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

For any $k = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $i = i(k) \in I$ such that $Q_k \in U_{i(k)}$. Let (V_k, z_k) be a coordinate neighbourhood of Q_k such that $V_k \subset U_{i(k)}$ and $z_k(Q_k) = 0$. We may assume that $V_1, \dots, V_n$ are pairwise disjoint and $z_1(V_1), \dots, z_n(V_n)$ are discs in $\mathbb{C}$. There exist C^∞ functions f_k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ with compact support such that $0 \leq f_k \leq 1$, $\text{supp}(f_k) \subset V_k$ and $f_k|_{V'_k} = 1$ for an open neighbourhood V'_k of Q_k with $V'_k \subset \subset V_k$. Define $g := 1 - (f_1 + \dots + f_n)$. Since g is a C^∞ function on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ with $g|_{V'_k} = 0$, $g\sigma$ is a C^∞ $(1,0)$ -form on $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \bar{S}$. We can take V_k so small that it does not contain a point in $\bar{S}$. Then we have $g\sigma = \sigma$ in a neighbourhood of any point in $\bar{S}$. Therefore $g\sigma \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1,0)})$.

Take any $P \in S$. Let (W, z) be a coordinate neighbourhood of P with $z(P) = 0$. For a small $\varepsilon > 0$ we set

$$\Delta(P, \varepsilon) := \{P' \in W; |z(P')| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^*(d(g\sigma)) &= \iint_{X \setminus S} d(\rho^*(g\sigma)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{X \setminus (\cup_{P \in S} \Delta(P, \varepsilon))} d(\rho^*(g\sigma)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(- \sum_{P \in S} \int_{\partial \Delta(P, \varepsilon)} \rho^* \sigma \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 = g + \sum_{k=1}^n f_k$, we have

$$\tau = d\sigma = d(g\sigma) + \sum_{k=1}^n d(f_k\sigma) \quad \text{on } X'_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

The above equality holds on $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ for the both sides are C^∞ on $X_{\mathbf{m}} \setminus \overline{S}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^* \tau &= \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^*(d(g\sigma)) + \sum_{k=1}^n \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^*(d(f_k \sigma)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^*(d(f_k \sigma)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\iint_{\rho^{-1}(V_k)} \rho^*(d(f_k \sigma_{i(k)})) - \iint_{\rho^{-1}(V_k)} \rho^*(d(f_k \omega_{i(k)})) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we note

$$\iint_{\rho^{-1}(V_k)} \rho^*(d(f_k \sigma_{i(k)})) = \iint_{\rho^{-1}(V_k)} d(\rho^*(f_k \sigma_{i(k)})) = \int_{\partial(\rho^{-1}(V_k))} \rho^*(f_k \sigma_{i(k)}) = 0.$$

We set

$$\tilde{\Delta}_k(\varepsilon) := \rho^{-1}(\{Q \in V_k; |z_k(Q)| < \varepsilon\})$$

for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\omega_{i(k)}$ is a meromorphic 1-form with Q_k as a pole, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\rho^{-1}(V_k)} \rho^*(d(f_k \omega_{i(k)})) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\rho^{-1}(V_k) \setminus \tilde{\Delta}_k(\varepsilon)} \rho^*(d(f_k \omega_{i(k)})) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\rho^{-1}(V_k) \setminus \tilde{\Delta}_k(\varepsilon)} d(\rho^*(f_k \omega_{i(k)})) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{\partial(\rho^{-1}(V_k))} \rho^*(f_k \omega_{i(k)}) - \int_{\partial \tilde{\Delta}_k(\varepsilon)} \rho^* \omega_{i(k)} \right) \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial \tilde{\Delta}_k(\varepsilon)} \rho^* \omega_{i(k)} \\ &= -2\pi\sqrt{-1} \text{Res}_{Q_k}(\omega_{i(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^* \tau = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Res}_{Q_k}(\omega_{i(k)}) = \text{Res}(\mu).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\text{Res}([\delta\mu]) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_{X \setminus S} \rho^* \tau,$$

because τ is a representative of $[\delta\mu]$. $\square$

Let K be a canonical divisor on X given by a meromorphic 1-form ω . We may assume that K is prime to S (i.e. $K \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$). Otherwise we take a meromorphic function f on X with $(f) + K = 0$ at any $P \in S$ (for example, see Lemma 1.15 in Chapter VI in [13]) and set $\omega' = f\omega$. Then (ω') is a divisor prime to S . For any $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$ and for any $f \in H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}(D + K))$, we have $(\rho^* f)\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega(D))$. Then we obtain an injection

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}(D + K)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \Omega(D)) & \hookrightarrow & \rho^* H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}(D)) \\ f & \longmapsto & (\rho^* f)\omega. & & \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.8. *There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \geq \deg D + k_0$$

for any $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

Proof. By the Riemann-Roch Theorem (Theorem 2.10) we have

$$\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D + K)) - \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D + K)) = \deg(D + K) + 1 - \pi.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) &\geq \dim H^0(X, \Omega(D)) \\ &\geq \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D + K)) \\ &= \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D + K)) + \deg(D + K) + 1 - \pi \\ &\geq \deg D + \deg K + 1 - \pi. \end{aligned}$$

If we set $k_0 := \deg K + 1 - \pi$, then the assertion holds. $\square$

3.4. Dual pairing. Take any $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. The product

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D) \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}, \quad (\omega, f) \longmapsto \omega f$$

induces a map

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D)) \times H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}).$$

The composition of this map with $\text{Res} : H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ produces a bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D)) \times H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\omega, \xi) &\longmapsto \langle \omega, \xi \rangle := \text{Res}(\omega \xi). \end{aligned}$$

This map induces a linear map

$$\iota_D : H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^*.$$

Proposition 3.9. *The map ι_D is injective.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for any non-zero $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D))$ there exists $\xi \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$ such that $\langle \omega, \xi \rangle \neq 0$. Let $Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \overline{S}$ be a point with $D(Q) = 0$. We take a coordinate neighbourhood (U_0, z) of Q such that $z(Q) = 0$ and $D|_{U_0} = 0$. We may assume that there exists $f \in H^0(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ such that $\omega = f dz$ on U_0 . Furthermore, we can take U_0 so small that f has no zeros in $U_0 \setminus \{Q\}$ and $U_0 \subset X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \overline{S}$. We set $U_1 := X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \{Q\}$ and $\mathfrak{U} := \{U_0, U_1\}$. We define $\eta = \{f_0, f_1\} \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ by

$$f_0 := \frac{1}{zf} \quad \text{and} \quad f_1 := 0,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the sheaf of germs of meromorphic functions on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then $\omega \eta = \{dz/z, 0\} \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \rho_* \mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ is an $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -distribution with $\text{Res}(\omega \eta) = \text{Res}_Q(dz/z) = 1$. We have $\delta \eta \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$. Let $\xi := [\delta \eta]$ be the cohomology class of $\delta \eta$ in $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$. Since $\omega \xi = \omega[\delta \eta] = [\delta(\omega \eta)]$, we obtain

$$\langle \omega, \xi \rangle = \text{Res}(\omega \xi) = \text{Res}([\delta(\omega \eta)]) = \text{Res}(\omega \eta) = 1$$

by Proposition 3.7. $\square$

Let $D, D' \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ with $D' \leq D$. The inclusion $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D') \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)$ induces an epimorphism

$$H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D')) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We obtain the following monomorphism of dual spaces from this epimorphism

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^* \xrightarrow{i_{D'}^D} H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D'))^*.$$

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^* & \xrightarrow{i_{D'}^D} & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D'))^* \\ & & \uparrow \iota_D & & \uparrow \iota_{D'} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D')) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $D, D' \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ such that $D' \leq D$. Suppose that $\lambda \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^*$ and $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D'))$ satisfy $i_{D'}^D(\lambda) = \iota_{D'}(\omega)$. Then ω belongs to $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D))$ and $\lambda = \iota_D(\omega)$.*

Proof. We suppose that $\omega \notin H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D))$. Then there exists $Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \overline{S}$ such that $\text{ord}_Q(\omega) < D(Q)$. Take a coordinate neighbourhood (U_0, z) of Q with $z(Q) = 0$. We may assume that U_0 satisfies the following conditions;

- (i) there exists $f \in H^0(U_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ such that $\omega = f dz$ on U_0 and f has no zeros and poles in $U_0 \setminus \{Q\}$,
- (ii) $D|(U_0 \setminus \{Q\}) = 0$ and $D'| (U_0 \setminus \{Q\}) = 0$.

We set $U_1 := X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \{Q\}$ and $\mathfrak{U} := \{U_0, U_1\}$. We define $\eta = \{f_0, f_1\} \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ by $f_0 := 1/(zf)$ and $f_1 := 0$. Then $\eta \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$ and $\delta\eta \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) = Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D')) = Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}})$. We denote by ξ and by ξ' the cohomology classes of $\delta\eta$ in $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$ and in $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D'))$ respectively. Since $\eta \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))$, we have $\xi = 0$. Therefore we obtain

$$\langle \omega, \xi' \rangle = \iota_{D'}(\omega)(\xi') = i_{D'}^D(\lambda)(\xi') = \lambda(\xi) = 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\langle \omega, \xi' \rangle = \text{Res}([\delta(\omega\eta)]) = \text{Res}(\omega\eta) = 1$$

by Proposition 3.7. This is a contradiction. Then we conclude $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D))$. Furthermore we have

$$i_{D'}^D(\lambda) = \iota_{D'}(\omega) = i_{D'}^D(\iota_D(\omega)).$$

Since $i_{D'}^D$ is one-to-one, we obtain $\lambda = \iota_D(\omega)$. $\square$

Let $D, B \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Any $\psi \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B))$ gives the following sheaf morphism

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D - B) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D), \quad f \mapsto \psi f.$$

This sheaf morphism induces a linear map

$$H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D - B)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)).$$

Then we obtain a linear map of dual spaces from the above linear map. Using the same notation, we write it as follows

$$\psi : H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^* \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D - B))^*.$$

We note that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^* & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D - B))^* \\ \uparrow \iota_D & & \uparrow \iota_{D-B} \\ H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D)) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D + B)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $D, B \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. If $\psi \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B))$ is not identically zero and has no zeros on $\overline{S}$, then the map*

$$\psi : H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^* \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D - B))^*$$

is injective.

Proof. Set $A := (\psi)$. Then $A \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and $A \geq -B$ by the assumption. The map $\psi : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D - B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)$ has the decomposition as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D - B) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D + A) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D).$$

Here the last map is an isomorphism for ψ has no zeros on $\overline{S}$. Then the map $\psi : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D - B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)$ is an injection. Therefore we obtain the assertion. $\square$

Lemma 3.12. *Let $f : V \longrightarrow W$ be a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map between $\mathbb{C}$ -linear spaces V and W . We suppose that V is finite dimensional, and consider it as a topological space by an isomorphism $V \cong \mathbb{C}^N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists an open dense subset U of V such that $f|_U$ is one-to-one, then f is one-to-one on V .*

Proof. Assume that there exist $v_1, v_2 \in V$ with $v_1 \neq v_2$ such that $f(v_1) = f(v_2)$. Since $U + v_1$ is also an open dense subset of V , $V \setminus (U + v_1)$ has no interior point. Then $(U + v_1) \cap (U + v_2) \neq \emptyset$ for $U + v_2$ is open. Therefore we have $u_1, u_2 \in U$ such that $u_1 + v_1 = u_2 + v_2$. By the assumption we obtain $f(u_1) = f(u_2)$. This gives $u_1 = u_2$. Hence we have $v_1 = v_2$, a contradiction. $\square$

For any $Q \in \overline{S}$ we define

$$N_Q(B) := \{\psi \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)); \psi = 0 \text{ at } Q\}.$$

By Theorem 2.10 $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B))$ is of finite dimension. We note that

$$\dim N_Q(B) \leq \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)) - 1.$$

Then a set

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{Q \in \overline{S}} N_Q(B) \right)$$

is an open dense subset of $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B))$.

3.5. Proof of the Serre duality. We prove Theorem 3.1 analytically. We have already shown that

$$\iota_D : H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^*$$

is injective (Proposition 3.9). Then it suffices to show that ι_D is also surjective.

Take any non-zero $\lambda \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^*$. Fix $P \in X \setminus S$. We set

$$D_n := D - nP \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let

$$\Lambda := \{\psi\lambda; \psi \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP))\} \subset H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_n))^*.$$

By Lemma 3.11 a linear map

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP)) \longrightarrow \Lambda, \quad \psi \longmapsto \psi\lambda$$

is one-to-one on an open dense subset $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP)) \setminus \left(\cup_{Q \in \overline{S}} N_Q(nP)\right)$ of $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP))$.

Then it is one-to-one on $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP))$ by Lemma 3.12. Hence we have $\Lambda \cong H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP))$. It follows from the Riemann-Roch Theorem (Theorem 2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Lambda &= \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP)) \\ &= \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP)) + 1 - \pi + \deg(nP) \\ &\geq 1 - \pi + n. \end{aligned}$$

Since a map

$$\iota_{D_n} : H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D_n)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_n))^*$$

is injective, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Im}(\iota_{D_n}) &= \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D_n)) \\ &\geq n - \deg D + k_0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.8, where $\text{Im}(\iota_{D_n})$ is the image of ι_{D_n} . By Proposition 2.8 we have $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_n)) = 0$ if $n > \deg D$. Using the Riemann-Roch Theorem again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_n))^* &= \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_n)) \\ &= \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_n)) - 1 + \pi - \deg D_n \\ &= \pi - 1 - \deg D + n \end{aligned}$$

if $n > \deg D$. Then it holds for a sufficiently large n that

$$\dim \Lambda + \dim(\text{Im}(\iota_{D_n})) > \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_n))^*.$$

Thus $\Lambda \cap \text{Im}(\iota_{D_n}) \neq \phi$ for a sufficiently large n . Since $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP)) \setminus \left(\cup_{Q \in \overline{S}} N_Q(nP)\right)$ is an open dense subset of $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP))$, there exist $\psi \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(nP))$ and $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D_n))$ such that $\psi\lambda = \iota_{D_n}(\omega)$ and $\psi(Q) \neq 0$ at any $Q \in \overline{S}$.

Let $A := (\psi)$. Then $A \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and $1/\psi \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(A))$. If we set

$$D' := D_n - A \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}),$$

then we have

$$D - D' = nP + A \geq 0.$$

Therefore we obtain a monomorphism

$$i_{D'}^D : H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D))^* \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D'))^*.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
i_{D'}^D(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\psi} \psi \lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{\psi} \iota_{D_n}(\omega) \\
&= \iota_{D_n-A} \left(\frac{\omega}{\psi} \right) \\
&= i_{D'} \left(\frac{\omega}{\psi} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 3.10 that $\omega/\psi \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D))$ and $\lambda = \iota_D(\omega/\psi)$. This finishes the proof.

3.6. Riemann-Roch Theorem (second version). Combining Theorem 2.10 with Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following second version of the Riemann-Roch Theorem.

Theorem 3.13. *For any $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ we have*

$$\dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)) - \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(-D)) = \deg D + 1 - \pi.$$

4. GENERALIZED ABEL'S THEOREM

4.1. Introduction. A generalized Abel's theorem was first formulated and proved algebraically in [15]. After that, Jambois [12] tried to treat it analytically. However, it seems to us that his argument is not correct. Furthermore, we think that the condition $f \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ in the statement of the above generalized Abel's theorem is unusual. We should consider the principal divisors which are defined by meromorphic functions on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We use the same notations as in the preceding sections. We assign a non-zero constant c_Q to each point Q in $\overline{S}$. We call

$$c(\overline{S}) := (c_Q)_{Q \in \overline{S}}$$

a multiconstant on $\overline{S}$.

Definition 4.1. Let $f \in \text{Mer}(X)$, and let $c(\overline{S})$ be a multiconstant on $\overline{S}$. We write

$$f \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$$

if $\text{ord}_P(f - c_Q) \geq \mathfrak{m}(P)$ for any $P \in S$ with $\rho(P) = Q$ at any $Q \in \overline{S}$.

Our formulation of a generalized Abel's theorem is the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ with $\deg D = 0$. Then there exists a meromorphic function f on X with $f \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$ such that $D = (f)$ if and only if there exists a 1-chain $c \in C_1(X \setminus S)$ with $\partial c = D$ such that*

$$\int_c \rho^* \omega = 0$$

for any $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

4.2. Weak solutions. Let $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. We set

$$X_D := \{P \in X; D(P) \geq 0\}.$$

Definition 4.3. A C^∞ function f on X_D is called a weak solution of D if it satisfies the following condition:

For any $P \in X$ there exist a coordinate neighbourhood (U, z) of P with $z(P) = 0$ and a C^∞ function ψ on U with $\psi(P) \neq 0$ such that

$$f = \psi z^{D(P)} \quad \text{on } U \cap X_D.$$

The following properties are immediate from the definition.

(i) If f and g are weak solutions of D , then there exists a C^∞ function φ on X such that

$$f = \varphi g$$

and φ has no zeros.

(ii) If f_1 and f_2 are weak solutions of D_1 and D_2 respectively, then $f := f_1 f_2$ and $g := f_1 / f_2$ are weak solutions of $D_1 + D_2$ and $D_1 - D_2$ respectively.

(iii) If f is a weak solution of D , then df/f is smooth on the complement of $\text{Supp}(D)$ and $\bar{\partial}f/f$ is smooth on X .

4.3. Sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$. Let $U \subset X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be an open set. We define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}(U) := \{\text{a } C^\infty \text{ 1-form } \omega \text{ on } U \setminus (U \cap \bar{S}) \text{ satisfying the following condition } (\star\star)\}.$$

The condition $(\star\star)$:

Let $Q \in U \cap \bar{S}$. We set $\rho^{-1}(Q) = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. Let $V \subset U$ be an open neighbourhood of Q such that

$$\rho^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k V_i \quad (P_i \in V_i),$$

(V_i, z_i) is a coordinate neighbourhood of P_i with $z_i(P_i) = 0$ and there exist C^∞ functions φ_i and ψ_i on $V_i \setminus \{P_i\}$ with

$$\rho^* \omega = \varphi_i dz_i + \psi_i d\bar{z}_i \quad \text{on } V_i \setminus \{P_i\}.$$

Then limits

$$\lim_{P \rightarrow P_i} \varphi_i(P) z_i(P)^{m(P_i)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{P \rightarrow P_i} \psi_i(P) \overline{z_i(P)}^{m(P_i)}$$

exist.

Then a presheaf $\{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}(U), r_V^U\}$ defines a sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

4.4. Lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \setminus S$ is a curve and U is a relatively compact open neighbourhood of $c([0, 1])$ in $X \setminus S$. Then there exists a weak solution f of ∂c with $f|(X \setminus U) = 1$ such that for every 1-form $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)})$ with $d\omega = 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge \rho^* \omega = \int_c \rho^* \omega.$$

Proof. (a) We first consider the case that $c([0, 1])$ is contained in a coordinate neighbourhood (U, z) such that $z(U) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit disk.

Let $a := c(0)$ and $b := c(1)$. We identify U with $z(U)$. There exists a positive number $r < 1$ such that $c([0, 1]) \subset \{|z| < r\}$. The function $\log((z - b)/(z - a))$ has a well-defined branch in $\{r < |z| < 1\}$. Take a C^∞ function ψ on U such that $\psi = 1$ on $\{|z| \leq r\}$ and $\psi = 0$ on $\{r' \leq |z|\}$, where $r < r' < 1$. We define a C^∞ function f_0 on $U \setminus \{a\}$ by

$$f_0 := \begin{cases} \exp\left(\psi \log\left(\frac{z-b}{z-a}\right)\right) & \text{if } r < |z| < 1, \\ \frac{z-b}{z-a} & \text{if } |z| \leq r. \end{cases}$$

Since $f_0|_{\{r' \leq |z| < 1\}} = 1$, we can extend f_0 to a C^∞ function f on $X \setminus \{a\}$. Then f is a weak solution of ∂c . Let $\omega \in H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^{(1)})$ be d -closed. We have a C^∞ function g with compact support such that $\rho^*\omega = dg$ on $\{|z| \leq r'\}$.

There exist a coordinate neighbourhood (V_1, t_1) of a with $t_1(a) = 0$ and a C^∞ function ψ_1 on V_1 such that $V_1 \subset U$, $\psi_1(a) \neq 0$ and

$$f = \psi_1 \frac{1}{t_1} \quad \text{on } V_1 \setminus \{a\}.$$

We can also take a coordinate neighbourhood (V_2, t_2) of b with $t_2(b) = 0$ and a C^∞ function ψ_2 on V_2 such that $V_2 \subset U$, $\psi_2(b) \neq 0$ and

$$f = \psi_2 t_2 \quad \text{on } V_2 \setminus \{b\}.$$

We may assume that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. Let $0 < s < s' < 1$. We take C^∞ functions σ_1 and σ_2 on X such that $\text{Supp}(\sigma_j) \subset \{|t_j| < s'\}$ and $\sigma_j|_{\{|t_j| \leq s\}} = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. We set $g_j := \sigma_j g$ ($j = 1, 2$) and

$$g_0 := g - (g_1 + g_2).$$

Since g_0 has a compact support $\text{Supp}(g_0)$ with $\text{Supp}(g_0) \subset X \setminus \{a, b\}$, we have

$$\iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge dg_0 = - \iint_{X \setminus \{a, b\}} d\left(g_0 \frac{df}{f}\right) = 0$$

by Stokes' theorem. Considering the support of df/f and the above equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge \rho^*\omega &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge dg \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \left(\iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge dg_1 + \iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge dg_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge dg_1 = \iint_{V_1} \frac{df}{f} \wedge dg_1 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|t_1|=\varepsilon} g_1 \frac{df}{f} = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(a).$$

Similarly we have

$$\iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge dg_2 = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(b).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge \rho^*\omega = g(b) - g(a) = \int_c \rho^*\omega.$$

(b) Next we consider the general case.

There exist a partition

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1$$

of the closed interval $[0, 1]$ and coordinate neighbourhoods (U_j, z_j) , $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that

- (i) $c([t_{j-1}, t_j]) \subset U_j \subset U$,
- (ii) $z_j(U_j) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit disc.

Let $c_j := c([t_{j-1}, t_j])$. By (a) we have a weak solution f_j of ∂c_j such that $f_j|(X \setminus U_j) = 1$ and

$$\int_{c_j} \rho^* \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{df_j}{f_j} \wedge \rho^* \omega$$

for any $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)})$ with $d\omega = 0$. Then $f := f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n$ is a function with the desired property. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *For any $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ the following two conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a meromorphic function g on X such that $D = (g)$ and we have a branch f of $\log g$ defined in a neighbourhood of S with the property*

$$\sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(f\omega) = 0$$

for any point $Q \in \overline{S}$ and for any $\omega \in H^0(X, \rho^* \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

- (2) *There exist a meromorphic function g on X and a multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$ such that*

$$D = (g) \quad \text{and} \quad g \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proof. Let g be a meromorphic function on X satisfying the condition (1). Take any $Q \in \overline{S}$. Let $\rho^{-1}(Q) = \{P_1, \dots, P_N\}$. If $N = 1$, then we set $c_Q := g(P_1) \neq 0$. Next we consider the case $N \geq 2$. For any $j = 2, \dots, N$, there exists a meromorphic 1-form ω such that it is holomorphic on $X \setminus \{P_1, P_j\}$ and has the simple poles P_1 and P_j . We may assume that $\text{Res}_{P_1}(\omega) = 1$. Then it naturally follows from the definition that $\text{Res}_{P_j}(\omega) = -1$. We note that $\omega \in H^0(X, \rho^* \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$. By the assumption we have

$$0 = \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(f\omega) = \text{Res}_{P_1}(f\omega) + \text{Res}_{P_j}(f\omega) = f(P_1) - f(P_j),$$

where f is a branch of $\log g$ in a neighbourhood of S . Then we obtain

$$g(P_1) = \exp(f(P_1)) = \exp(f(P_j)) = g(P_j).$$

Since j is arbitrary, we can set

$$c_Q := g(P_1) = g(P_2) = \cdots = g(P_N).$$

We show that $\text{ord}_P(g - c_Q) \geq \mathfrak{m}(P)$ for any $P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)$. If $\mathfrak{m}(P) = 1$, it is just $g(P) = c_Q$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}(P) \geq 2$. For any k with $2 \leq k \leq \mathfrak{m}(P)$, there exists a meromorphic 1-form ω_k such that it is holomorphic on $X \setminus \{P\}$ and the singularity at P is $1/z^k$, where z is a local coordinate with $z(P) = 0$. We note that $\omega_k \in H^0(X, \rho^* \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Since f is a branch of $\log g$, we have the following representation of f in a neighbourhood of P

$$f(z) = f(P) + \frac{g'(P)}{g(P)}z + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{g'}{g} \right)'(P)z^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left(\frac{g'}{g} \right)^{(k-2)}(P)z^{k-1} + \cdots.$$

We show that $g^{(\ell)}(P) = 0$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}(P) - 1$, by the induction on ℓ . Considering the above representation of f for $k = 2$, we obtain

$$0 = \text{Res}_P(f\omega_2) = \frac{g'(P)}{g(P)},$$

hence $g'(P) = 0$. Let $2 \leq \ell \leq \mathfrak{m}(P) - 1$ and assume that $g'(P) = \dots = g^{(\ell-1)}(P) = 0$. Then we have

$$f(z) = f(P) + \frac{1}{\ell!} \left(\frac{g'}{g} \right)^{(\ell-1)}(P) z^\ell + \dots.$$

Since

$$0 = \text{Res}_P(f\omega_{\ell+1}) = \frac{1}{\ell!} \left(\frac{g'}{g} \right)^{(\ell-1)}(P),$$

we have $g^{(\ell)}(P) = 0$. Therefore we conclude that $\text{ord}_P(g - c_Q) \geq \mathfrak{m}(P)$. This means that $g \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Conversely, we assume that there exists a meromorphic function g on X with $D = (g)$ and $g \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$. Take any $Q \in \overline{S}$ and any $P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)$. Let z be a local coordinate at P with $z(P) = 0$. We can write

$$g(z) = c_Q + a_{\mathfrak{m}(P)} z^{\mathfrak{m}(P)} + \dots.$$

Then we have a branch f of $\log g$ in a neighbourhood of P such that

$$f(z) = \log c_Q + \frac{a_{\mathfrak{m}(P)}}{c_Q} z^{\mathfrak{m}(P)} + \dots.$$

For any $\omega \in H^0(X, \rho^* \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and for any $Q \in \overline{S}$, we have

$$\sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(f\omega) = \log c_Q \left(\sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(\omega) \right) = 0.$$

□

4.5. Proof of Theorem 4.2. We first show the necessity. Assume that there exists a 1-chain $c \in C_1(X \setminus S)$ with $\partial c = D$ such that

$$\int_c \rho^* \omega = 0$$

for any $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$. By Lemma 4.4 we have a weak solution f of $D = \partial c$ such that $f|_{(X \setminus U)} = 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge \rho^* \omega = \int_c \rho^* \omega$$

for every $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)})$ with $d\omega = 0$, where U is an open neighbourhood of the support of c with $U \subset \subset X \setminus S$. Since $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)})$, we obtain for every $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$

$$0 = \int_c \rho^* \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{df}{f} \wedge \rho^* \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{\overline{\partial} f}{f} \wedge \rho^* \omega$$

by the assumption. Note that $\sigma := \overline{\partial} f / f$ is a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form on X . It follows from the above equality that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \sigma \wedge \eta = 0$$

for every $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega)$, for we have $H^0(X, \Omega) \subset \rho^* H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$. Then there exists a C^∞ function g on X with $\bar{\partial}g = \sigma = \bar{\partial}f/f$ (Corollary (19.10) in [10]). If we set $F := e^{-g}f$, then it is also a weak solution of D . Furthermore, F is meromorphic for $\bar{\partial}F = 0$. Since $f = 1$ on a neighbourhood of S , we have $F = e^{-g}$ there. Then, $-g$ is a branch of $\log F$ defined in the neighbourhood of S . For any $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \bar{\partial}g \wedge \rho^*\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \frac{\bar{\partial}f}{f} \wedge \rho^*\omega = \int_c \rho^*\omega = 0.$$

Let $Q \in \bar{S}$. We set $\rho^{-1}(Q) = \{P_1, \dots, P_N\}$. Take a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. We denote by $B_j(\varepsilon)$ the disc centered at P_j with radius ε . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \bar{\partial}g \wedge \rho^*\omega &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_{X \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^N B_j(\varepsilon))} \bar{\partial}g \wedge \rho^*\omega \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\partial B_j(\varepsilon)} (-g) \rho^*\omega \right) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P((-g)\rho^*\omega), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P((-g)\rho^*\omega) = 0.$$

Then the condition (1) in Lemma 4.5 is fulfilled for

$$\rho^* H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}) = H^0(X, \rho^* \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}).$$

Therefore, there exists a meromorphic function h on X and a multiconstant $c(\bar{S})$ such that

$$D = (h) \quad \text{and} \quad h \equiv c(\bar{S}) \pmod{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Next we prove the sufficiency. Let f be a meromorphic function on X with $f \equiv c(\bar{S}) \pmod{\mathbf{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\bar{S})$ such that $D = (f)$. Take any $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$. Let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the holomorphic map defined by f . We note that $d := \deg F \geq \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \mathbf{m}(P) \geq 2$ for any $Q \in \bar{S}$. The trace $\text{Trace}(\rho^*\omega)$ of $\rho^*\omega$ by F is a meromorphic 1-form on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Assertion. $\text{Trace}(\rho^*\omega) = 0$.

Proof. Note that $\text{Trace}(\rho^*\omega)$ is holomorphic except $F(S) = \{c_Q; Q \in \bar{S}\}$. Let $Q \in \bar{S}$. Take any $P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)$. Let $m(\geq \mathbf{m}(P))$ be the multiplicity of F at P . We can take local coordinates t and w centered at c_Q and at P respectively by which F is represented as $t = w^m$. We may assume that there exists a meromorphic function $h(w)$ in a neighbourhood of P such that $\rho^*\omega = h(w)dw$ and $h(w)$ has the following Laurent expansion

$$\sum_{n \geq -\mathbf{m}(P)} c_n w^n.$$

The preimages of $t = w^m (\neq 0)$ are $\zeta^i w$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$), where $\zeta = \exp(\sqrt{-1} \frac{2\pi}{m})$. Since $n \geq -\mathfrak{m}(P)$ and $m \geq \mathfrak{m}(P)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{h(\zeta^i w)}{mw^{m-1}} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n \geq -\mathfrak{m}(P)} c_n \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta^{i(n-m+1)} \right) w^{n-m+1} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} c_{km-1} t^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting $c_{-1} = \text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega)$, we obtain the expression of $\text{Trace}(\rho^* \omega)$ at c_Q as follows:

$$\text{Trace}(\rho^* \omega) = \left(\left(\sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega) \right) \frac{1}{t} + \text{holomorphic part} \right) dt.$$

Then it is holomorphic at c_Q for $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Therefore it must be zero. $\square$

Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ be the branch points of F . We set

$$Y := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{F(a_1), \dots, F(a_r)\}.$$

We take a curve γ from 0 to ∞ contained in $Y \setminus F(S)$. Let $c_1, \dots, c_d$ be the curves in X consisting of $F^{-1}(\gamma)$. If we define

$$c := c_1 + \dots + c_d,$$

then $c \in C_1(X \setminus S)$, $\partial c = D$ and

$$\int_c \rho^* \omega = \int_{\gamma} \text{Trace}(\rho^* \omega) = 0$$

for any $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$. This completes the proof.

5. VARIETIES ASSOCIATED TO SINGULAR CURVES

5.1. Non-singular case. Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g . We denote by $\text{Div}(X)$ the group of divisors on X . It has subgroups $\text{Div}^0(X)$ and $\text{Div}_P(X)$ consisting of divisors of degree 0 and principal divisors, respectively. We define

$$\overline{\text{Div}(X)} := \text{Div}(X)/\text{Div}_P(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\text{Div}^0(X)} := \text{Div}^0(X)/\text{Div}_P(X).$$

Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$ be a basis of $H^0(X, \Omega)$. We take a canonical homology basis $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g\}$ of X . We denote by Λ the lattice determined by these bases. We define a period map $\varphi : X \rightarrow A := \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ with base point $P_0 \in X$ by

$$\varphi(P) := \left(\int_{P_0}^P \omega_1, \dots, \int_{P_0}^P \omega_g \right) \mod \Lambda.$$

The map φ is extended onto $\text{Div}(X)$, and we obtain an isomorphism

$$\overline{\varphi} : \overline{\text{Div}^0(X)} \rightarrow A$$

by Abel's Theorem and the solution of Jacobi Inversion Problem. In this case, A is called the Albanese variety of X . We write $\text{Alb}(X) = A$.

Let $\text{Pic}(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ be the group of isomorphic classes of holomorphic line bundles on X . We denote by $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ the subgroup consisting of classes of topologically trivial ones. It is called the Picard variety of X . Since every holomorphic line bundle on X has a non-trivial meromorphic section, we have

$$\text{Pic}(X) \cong \overline{\text{Div}(X)},$$

hence

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) \cong \overline{\text{Div}^0(X)}.$$

Therefore, we obtain isomorphisms

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) \cong \overline{\text{Div}^0(X)} \cong \text{Alb}(X) = A.$$

Furthermore, we can give a direct isomorphism $\overline{\text{Alb}(X)} \cong \text{Pic}^0(X)$ as complex Lie groups. The Jacobi variety $J(X)$ of X is $\overline{\text{Div}^0(X)}$ with the complex structure induced from the above isomorphisms.

5.2. Divisor classes and Picard varieties of singular curves. Results in this section are stated in [2] without details. Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Consider a singular curve $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ constructed by a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$ with support S from X as in Section 2. Since $H^2(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$, we obtain the following exact sequence by a standard argument

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \xrightarrow{c} H^2(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We define

$$\text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) := H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$$

and

$$\text{Pic}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) := \{L \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*); c(L) = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the quotient sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. We denote the divisor sheaf on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^* / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*.$$

From an exact sequence

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow \{1\}$$

we obtain the following exact sequence

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) &\longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow \\ H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) &\longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *For every holomorphic vector bundle $E \longrightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we have*

$$\dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E)) < \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E)$ is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of E .

Proof. Since $\tilde{E} := \rho^* E$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over X , we have

$$\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E})) < \infty.$$

Let $\overline{S} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_N\}$. If we set

$$\mathcal{F}_E := \rho_* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E),$$

then

$$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{F}_E) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}^{r_{\ell_j}},$$

where $r = \text{rank } E$ and $\ell_j = \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q_j)} \mathfrak{m}(P) - 1$. From an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E) \longrightarrow \rho_* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_E \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E})) &\longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow \\ H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E)) &\longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E})) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$H^q(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E})) \cong H^q(X, \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E})) \quad \text{for } q \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E)) \\ &= \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E})) + \dim H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{F}_E) - \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E})) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\pi : E \longrightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. For any $Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \bar{S}$ there exists a meromorphic section s of E over $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that Q is the only pole of s .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 we have

$$k := \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E)) < \infty.$$

Let (U_1, z) be a coordinate neighbourhood of Q with $z(Q) = 0$ such that $z(U_1)$ is a disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and E has a trivialization on U_1 . We set $U_2 := X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \{Q\}$. Then U_2 is a 1-dimensional Stein space. Consider a Stein covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_1, U_2\}$ of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $\pi^{-1}(U_1) \cong U_1 \times \mathbb{C}^r$ be the trivialization of E on U_1 , where $r = \text{rank } E$. We define a holomorphic section $s_j(z) := (z^{-j}, \dots, z^{-j})$ of E on $U_1 \cap U_2 = U_1 \setminus \{Q\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathfrak{U}$ is a Stein covering, we have

$$H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E)) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E)).$$

We denote by $\zeta_j \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E))$ the cocycle given by s_j . We see that $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{k+1}$ are linearly dependent modulo the coboundaries for $k = \dim H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E))$. Then there exist $(c_1, \dots, c_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k+1} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\eta = (f_1, f_2) \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(E))$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j \zeta_j = \delta \eta.$$

If we set

$$f := \begin{cases} f_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j s_j & \text{on } U_1, \\ f_2 & \text{on } U_2, \end{cases}$$

then f is a meromorphic section of E and has the only pole at Q . □

We note that a holomorphic line bundle over $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is given by an element of $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ if and only if it has a non-trivial meromorphic section. Applying the above proposition to holomorphic line bundles over $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we see that the map $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$ in (5.2) is surjective and then

$$H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \cong H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}) / H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*).$$

We investigate the divisor sheaf $\mathcal{D}_m$ more closely. Let $L \in H^1(X_m, \mathcal{O}_m^*)$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_m(L)$ the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of L over X_m . Similarly, $\mathcal{E}_m^{p,q}(L)$ is the sheaf of germs of L -valued C^∞ (p, q) -forms over X_m . The following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_m(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_m^{0,0}(L) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{E}_m^{0,1}(L) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a fine resolution of $\mathcal{O}_m(L)$. Then we have

$$H^1(X_m, \mathcal{O}_m(L)) \cong H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^{0,1}(L)) / \bar{\partial} H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^{0,0}(L)),$$

$$H^q(X_m, \mathcal{O}_m(L)) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \geq 2.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_m^r$ be the sheaf of germs of C^∞ r -forms on X_m . Then we obtain

$$H^2(X_m, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^2) / dH^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^1)$$

by the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_m^0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}_m^1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}_m^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

because sheaves $\mathcal{E}_m^r$ ($r = 0, 1, 2$) are also fine. It follows from (5.1) that a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(X_m, \mathcal{O}_m) / H^1(X_m, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_m, \mathcal{O}_m^*) \xrightarrow{c} H^2(X_m, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. The Chern class $c(L)$ of L is considered as a class in $H^2(X_m, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$. The pull-back $\rho^*\varphi$ of any $\varphi \in H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^2)$ is a C^∞ 2-form on X . We define an epimorphism $\Phi : H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Phi(\varphi) := \iint_X \rho^*\varphi \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^2).$$

Since d and ρ^* are commutative, we have

$$dH^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^1) \subset \text{Ker } \Phi.$$

Then we obtain an epimorphism

$$\sigma : H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^2) / dH^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^1) \longrightarrow H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^2) / \text{Ker } \Phi.$$

However, we have $H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^2) / \text{Ker } \Phi \cong \mathbb{C}$ and

$$H^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^2) / dH^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^1) \cong H^2(X_m, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Then, σ is an isomorphism and $\text{Ker } \Phi = dH^0(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^1)$.

We suppose that $L \in H^1(X_m, \mathcal{O}_m^*)$ has a representative $(\xi_{\alpha\beta}) \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_m^*)$ for some sufficiently fine covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ of X_m . We set

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \log \xi_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then $(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}) \in C^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_m)$. The Chern class $c(L)$ of L is the class given by the coboundary $(c_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$ of $(\sigma_{\alpha\beta})$, where

$$c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \sigma_{\beta\gamma} - \sigma_{\alpha\gamma} + \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \sigma_{\beta\gamma} + \sigma_{\gamma\alpha}.$$

We consider $(c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) \in Z^2(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbb{Z})$ as a 2-cocycle in $Z^2(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{E}_m^0)$. Then there exists $(\sigma'_{\alpha\beta}) \in C^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{E}_m^0)$ such that

$$c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \sigma'_{\alpha\beta} + \sigma'_{\beta\gamma} + \sigma'_{\gamma\alpha},$$

for $H^2(X_m, \mathcal{E}_m^0) = 0$. Since $(c_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$ is d -closed, we have $(d\sigma'_{\alpha\beta}) \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{E}_m^1)$. Therefore, we can take $(\tau_\alpha) \in C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{E}_m^1)$ with $d\sigma'_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_\beta - \tau_\alpha$. Hence we obtain a global 2-form φ defined by $(d\tau_\alpha)$. This is a 2-form which is a representative of $c(L)$ in

$H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^2)$. Then we write $\varphi(L) = \varphi$. In this case $\rho^*\varphi(L)$ is a representative of the Chern class $c_X(\rho^*L)$ of ρ^*L on X . We know

$$\iint_X \rho^*\varphi(L) = c_X(\rho^*L) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We sum up the above facts as follows. The Chern class $c(L)$ of any $L \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$ is given by

$$c(L) = \iint_X \rho^*\varphi(L),$$

where $\varphi(L) \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}^2)$ is a representative of $c(L)$.

We obtain the following lemma by a slight modification of the proof in the non-singular case.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $L \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$. Assume that L is represented by $(\zeta_{\alpha\beta}) \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$ for some open covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_{\alpha}\}$ of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. For any α there exists a nowhere-vanishing C^{∞} function r_{α} on U_{α} such that $\log r_{\alpha}$ has a well-defined branch on U_{α} and*

$$r_{\alpha} = r_{\beta} |\zeta_{\beta\alpha}|^2 \quad \text{on } U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}.$$

Then, $\varphi := \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \partial\bar{\partial} \log r_{\alpha}$ is a well-defined 2-form on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and we have

$$c(L) = \iint_X \rho^*\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \partial\bar{\partial} \log(r_{\alpha} \circ \rho).$$

Remark. *Functions $\{r_{\alpha}\}$ satisfying the condition in the above lemma always exist. We can take such functions taking strictly positive values.*

Let $L \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*(L)$ the sheaf of germs of non-zero meromorphic sections of L . For any $f \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*(L))$ we set

$$\text{ord}_Q(f) = \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{ord}_P(f \circ \rho) \quad \text{for } Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $L \in H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$. Take any $f \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*(L))$. Then we have*

$$c(L) = \sum_{Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}}} \text{ord}_Q(f).$$

Proof. Let $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_N\}$ be the set of all $Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $\text{ord}_Q(f) \neq 0$. We show that

$$c(L) = \sum_{i=1}^N \text{ord}_{Q_i}(f).$$

Suppose that L is given by $(\zeta_{\alpha\beta}) \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$. We may assume that the open covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_{\alpha}\}$ of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ satisfies the following condition:

For any $i = 1, \dots, N$ there exists an open neighbourhood V_i of Q_i such that $V_i \subset U_{\alpha_i}$ for some α_i and $V_i \cap U_{\alpha} = \emptyset$ if $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$.

Let (f_{α}) be meromorphic functions which represent $f \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*(L))$. Then $|f_{\alpha}|^2$ is a nowhere-vanishing C^{∞} function on $U_{\alpha} \setminus (\{Q_1, \dots, Q_N\} \cap U_{\alpha})$, and satisfies

$$|f_{\alpha}|^2 = |\zeta_{\alpha\beta}|^2 |f_{\beta}|^2.$$

Modifying f_{α} on V_i without changing the above functional equation, we obtain positive-valued C^{∞} functions (g_{α}) such that

$$g_{\alpha} = |\zeta_{\alpha\beta}|^2 g_{\beta} \quad \text{on } U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta},$$

$$g_\alpha = |f_\alpha|^2 \text{ on } U_\alpha \setminus ((\cup_{i=1}^N V_i) \cap U_\alpha).$$

By Lemma 5.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(L) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \partial \bar{\partial} \log(g_\alpha \circ \rho)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_X \bar{\partial} \partial \log(g_\alpha \circ \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\bar{\partial} \partial \log(g_\alpha \circ \rho) = \bar{\partial} \partial \log |f_\alpha \circ \rho|^2 = 0$$

on $\rho^{-1}(U_\alpha \setminus ((\cup_{i=1}^N V_i) \cap U_\alpha))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(L) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iint_{\rho^{-1}(V_i)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log(g_\alpha \circ \rho) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\partial(\rho^{-1}(V_i))} \partial \log(g_\alpha \circ \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Noting $g_\alpha \circ \rho = |f_\alpha \circ \rho|^2$ on $\partial(\rho^{-1}(V_i))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c(L) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\partial(\rho^{-1}(V_i))} d \log(f_\alpha \circ \rho) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q_i)} \text{ord}_P(f_\alpha \circ \rho) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \text{ord}_{Q_i}(f). \end{aligned}$$

□

An element in $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is identified with a divisor

$$D = \sum_{Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}}} D(Q)Q,$$

where $D(Q) = \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} n_P$, $n_P \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|n_P| \geq \mathfrak{m}(P)$ and $n_P n_{P'} > 0$ for any $P, P' \in \rho^{-1}(Q)$ if $Q \in \overline{S}$ and $D(Q) \neq 0$, $D(Q) \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \overline{S}$, and the number of points Q with $D(Q) \neq 0$ is finite. We denote by $\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ the set of all such divisors. Note that the divisor group $\text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is considered as a subgroup of $\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Any $f \in \text{Mer}^*(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) := \text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \setminus \{0\}$ gives a divisor

$$(f) := \sum_{Q \in X_{\mathfrak{m}}} \text{ord}_Q(f)Q$$

in $\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

Remark. The set

$$\{f \in \text{Mer}(X); f \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ for some multiconstant } c(\overline{S})\}$$

is identified with a subset of $\text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

Definition 5.5. The divisors $D_1, D_2 \in \widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ are said to be equivalent if there exists $f \in \text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ such that $D_1 - D_2 = (f)$. In this case we write $D_1 \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} D_2$.

The relation “ $\sim_{\mathbf{m}}$ ” is an equivalence relation on $\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$. We denote $[\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X_{\mathbf{m}})] := \widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X_{\mathbf{m}})/\sim_{\mathbf{m}}$.

Let $P \in X$. Take a local coordinate z with $z(P) = 0$. For any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \leq m$, we define a Laurent polynomial

$$r(z) = \sum_{j=n}^m c_j z^j.$$

A function $f \in \text{Mer}(X)$ is said to have $r(z)$ as a Laurent tail at P if $\text{ord}_P(f-r) > m$. The following lemma is well-known (cf. Chapter VI, §1, Lemma 1.15 in [13]).

Lemma 5.6. *Let X be a compact Riemann surface, and let $P_1, \dots, P_N$ be a finite number of points in X . Take a local coordinate z_i at P_i with $z_i(P_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Suppose that a Laurent polynomial $r_i(z_i)$ is given at each point P_i . Then there exists $f \in \text{Mer}(X)$ such that f has $r_i(z_i)$ as a Laurent tail at P_i for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.*

Lemma 5.7. *For any $\tilde{D} \in \widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$ there exists $f \in \text{Mer}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$ such that $\tilde{D} - (f) \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$.*

Proof. Let $Q \in \overline{S}$ be a point with $\tilde{D}(Q) \neq 0$. Put $M := \tilde{D}(Q)$. Consider the case $M > 0$. We set $\rho^{-1}(Q) = \{P_1, \dots, P_N\}$. For any P_i there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_i \geq \mathbf{m}(P_i)$ such that $M = \sum_{i=1}^N n_i$. Let z_i be a local coordinate at P_i with $z_i(P_i) = 0$. Define $r_i(z_i) := z_i^{n_i}$. For any $P \in S \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_N\}$ we set a Laurent polynomial

$$r_P(z_P) := 1 + z_P^{\mathbf{m}(P)},$$

where z_P is a local coordinate at P with $z_P(P) = 0$. By Lemma 5.6 we obtain a meromorphic function $f \in \text{Mer}(X)$ such that f has $r_i(z_i)$ as a Laurent tail at each P_i and $r_P(z_P)$ as a Laurent tail at each $P \in S \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_N\}$. Then f is considered as the pull-back of some $g \in \text{Mer}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$. It is obvious that $\tilde{D} - (g)$ is zero at Q .

When $M < 0$, we apply the above argument to $-\tilde{D}$. Since the number of $Q \in \overline{S}$ with $\tilde{D}(Q) \neq 0$ is finite, we obtain the lemma. $\square$

We set

$$\text{Div}^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}) := \{D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathbf{m}}); \deg D = 0\}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}) := \{\tilde{D} \in \widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X_{\mathbf{m}}); \deg \tilde{D} = 0\}.$$

We define $\overline{\text{Div}}^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}) := \text{Div}^0(X_{\mathbf{m}})/\sim$ and $[\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}^0(X_{\mathbf{m}})] := \widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}^0(X_{\mathbf{m}})/\sim_{\mathbf{m}}$.

Proposition 5.8. *We have*

$$[\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X_{\mathbf{m}})] \cong \overline{\text{Div}}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$$

and

$$[\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}^0(X_{\mathbf{m}})] \cong \overline{\text{Div}}^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}).$$

Proof. We define an epimorphism

$$\Phi : \widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X_{\mathbf{m}}) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Div}}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$$

as follows. For any $\tilde{D} \in \widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ there exists $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ such that $\tilde{D} \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} D$ (Lemma 5.7). We set $\Phi(\tilde{D}) := [D]$, where $[D]$ is the equivalence class of D in $\overline{\text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})}$. It is easy to verify that Φ is well-defined and

$$\text{Ker } \Phi = \{(f); f \in \text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})\}.$$

Then we obtain that $[\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})] \cong \overline{\text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})}$.

The second isomorphism is obvious. $\square$

By Proposition 5.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) &\cong H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}) / H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \\ &\cong [\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})] \\ &\cong \overline{\text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Propositions 5.4 and 5.8 that

$$\text{Pic}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong [\widetilde{\text{Div}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}})] \cong \overline{\text{Div}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}})}$$

(see Section 3 in [2]). The group $\text{Pic}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is called the Picard variety of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

5.3. The structure of Picard varieties. We study the relation between the Picard variety of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and that of X . Results stated in this section have been already gotten in [2]. We restate them in detail because of the convenience of readers.

By the projection $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we have the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^* \hookrightarrow \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X^*$. Let $\mathcal{S} := \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X^* / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*$. It is obvious by the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*$ that $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathbb{C}^m \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. From an exact sequence

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^* \rightarrow \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \{1\}$$

the following exact sequence follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) &\rightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \cong H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X^*) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and $H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

We set $\mathcal{T} := \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Applying the above argument to $\mathcal{T}$, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{Z} := \rho_* \mathbb{Z}_X / \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_X$ is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on X . Then we also obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathbb{Z}_X) \rightarrow 0$$

in the same manner. Combining the above diagram with (5.1), we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathbb{Z}_X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & H^2(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Z}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^2(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \rho_* \mathbb{Z}_X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{Z} \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Therefore we have the following exact sequence

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 5.9 (Theorem 1 in [2]). *The above exact sequence (5.3) splits. Therefore we have*

$$\mathrm{Pic}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \oplus H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that there exists a homomorphism $\tau : \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ with $\sigma \circ \tau = \mathrm{id}$. We can take a finite open covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i\}$ of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ consisting of Stein open sets with the following properties.

- (i) Each U_i has at most one point in $\overline{S}$.
- (ii) If $i \neq j$, then $(U_i \cap U_j) \cap \overline{S} = \emptyset$ and $\rho^{-1}(U_i) \cap \rho^{-1}(U_j)$ has the only one component.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}} := \{\rho^{-1}(U_i)\}$. Since any $V := U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_N}$ is a pure 1-dimensional Stein space, we have

$$H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0.$$

Then $H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) = 0$. This shows that $\mathfrak{U}$ is a Leray covering of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*$. Similarly $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a Leray covering of X for $\mathcal{O}_X^*$. Then we have

$$H^1(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \cong H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*).$$

Take any $x \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$. We assume that x is the isomorphic class of topologically trivial holomorphic line bundle $\tilde{L}$ on X . Let $(\tilde{g}_{ij}) \in Z^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ be a 1-cocycle which gives $\tilde{L}$. Since $U_i \cap U_j$ has no point in $\overline{S}$ for i, j with $i \neq j$, $\rho^{-1}(U_i) \cap \rho^{-1}(U_j)$ is biholomorphic onto $U_i \cap U_j$ by ρ . Then there exists a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function g_{ij} on $U_i \cap U_j$ such that $\tilde{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} \circ \rho$. Let L be the line bundle on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ determined by $(g_{ij}) \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$. Then we have $\tilde{L} = \rho^* L$. Since $c_X(\tilde{L}) = 0$, we have $c(L) = 0$. Let y be the isomorphic class in which L is contained. We set $\tau(x) := y$. It is easy to check that $\tau : \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is well-defined for any $\tilde{L}$ in any class of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ is the pull-back of some line bundle L on $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. $\square$

We also gave another proof of the above theorem in [2] (see Section 4 in [2]).

5.4. Albanese varieties. We defined the Albanese variety $\text{Alb}(X)$ of a compact Riemann surface X in Section 5.1. We know that $\text{Pic}^0(X)$, $\overline{\text{Div}}^0(X)$ and $\text{Alb}(X)$ are isomorphic one another.

In this section we consider Albanese varieties for singular curves. Let $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ be a singular curve of genus $\pi = g + \delta$ as in Section 2, where g is the genus of X . Take a basis $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\pi\}$ of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$. We fix a canonical homology basis $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g\}$ of X . Let $S = \{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$. We denote by γ_j a small circle centered at P_j with anticlockwise direction for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Then the set $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}\}$ forms a basis of $H_1(X \setminus S, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(X_{\mathbf{m}} \setminus \overline{S}, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})^*$ be the dual space of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$. We set

$$A := H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})^* / H_1(X_{\mathbf{m}} \setminus \overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

In [15] it is stated that A has the unique algebraic structure in which the following exact sequence is algebraic:

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \text{Alb}(X) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where H is an affine algebraic group. Rosenlicht [15] called it the generalized Jacobi variety of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$. However, this is one of structures which can be induced to A . In [2], we called A with the above algebraic structure the algebraic Albanese variety of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$, and wrote it $\text{Alb}^{alg}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$.

We are interested in their analytic structure. We begin by considering A explicitly. We may assume that $\{\rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \rho^* \omega_g\}$ is a basis of $H^0(X, \Omega)$. Consider $2g + s - 1$ vectors

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\alpha_i} \rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \int_{\alpha_i} \rho^* \omega_\pi \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, g, \\ & \left(\int_{\beta_i} \rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \int_{\beta_i} \rho^* \omega_\pi \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, g \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\int_{\gamma_j} \rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \int_{\gamma_j} \rho^* \omega_\pi \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, s-1$$

in $\mathbb{C}^\pi$. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\pi$ generated by these vectors over $\mathbb{Z}$. We have the following lemma as in the non-singular case.

Lemma 5.10. *There exist $a_1, \dots, a_\pi \in X \setminus S$ such that if*

$$\rho^* \omega(a_1) = \dots = \rho^* \omega(a_\pi) = 0,$$

then $\omega = 0$ for $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$.

Proof. For any $a \in X \setminus S$ we set

$$H_a := \{\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}); \rho^* \omega(a) = 0\}.$$

Then H_a is a linear subspace of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$ with $\dim H_a = \pi - 1$. Since $\cap_{a \in X \setminus S} H_a = \{0\}$, we can take $a_1, \dots, a_\pi \in X \setminus S$ such that $\cap_{i=1}^\pi H_{a_i} = \{0\}$. $\square$

Proposition 5.11. *Γ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\pi$.*

Proof. We take points $a_1, \dots, a_\pi \in X \setminus S$ possessing the property in Lemma 5.10. Let (U_j, z_j) be a coordinate neighbourhood of a_j with $z_j(a_j) = 0$. We may assume that $\{U_j\}$ is pairwise disjoint and U_j is simply connected. We have a representation of $\rho^*\omega_i$ on U_j such as

$$\rho^*\omega_i = \varphi_{ij}dz_j, \quad \varphi_{ij} \in H^0(U_j, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Let $M := (\varphi_{ij}(a_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq \pi}$. Then M is of rank π . In fact, if $\text{rank } M < \pi$, then there exist $c_1, \dots, c_\pi \in \mathbb{C}$ with $(c_1, \dots, c_\pi) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} c_i \varphi_{ij}(a_j) = 0$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, \pi$. We set $\omega_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{\pi} c_i \omega_i$. Then we have

$$\rho^*\omega_0(a_1) = \dots = \rho^*\omega_0(a_\pi) = 0.$$

Therefore $\omega_0 = 0$ by Lemma 5.10. This contradicts that $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\pi$ is a basis of $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_\pi) \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_\pi$ we define

$$F_i(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{\pi} \int_{a_j}^{x_j} \rho^*\omega_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, \pi.$$

Then we have a holomorphic map

$$F : U_1 \times \dots \times U_\pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\pi, \quad F(x) := (F_1(x), \dots, F_\pi(x)).$$

The jacobian matrix of F is

$$J_F(x) := \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j}(x) \right) = (\varphi_{ij}(x)).$$

Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_\pi) \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_\pi$. Since $M = J_F(a)$ is invertible, we may assume that the map

$$F : U_1 \times \dots \times U_\pi \longrightarrow W := F(U_1 \times \dots \times U_\pi) \subset \mathbb{C}^\pi$$

is biholomorphic, shrinking $U_1, \dots, U_\pi$ if necessarily.

It suffices to show that $\Gamma \cap W = \{0\}$. Suppose that there exists $t \in \Gamma \cap (W \setminus \{0\})$. Then there exists $x \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_\pi$ with $x \neq a$ such that $F(x) = t \in \Gamma$. Renumbering if necessarily, we may assume that $x_j \neq a_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $x_j = a_j$ for $k < j \leq \pi$, where $1 \leq k \leq \pi$. We define a divisor D prime to S by

$$D := \sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - a_i).$$

Since $F(x) = t \in \Gamma$, there exists

$$\gamma = \sum n_i \alpha_i + \sum m_i \beta_i + \sum \ell_j \gamma_j, \quad n_i, m_i, \ell_j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

such that

$$t = \left(\int_{\gamma} \rho^*\omega_1, \dots, \int_{\gamma} \rho^*\omega_\pi \right).$$

Let c_i be a curve from a_i to x_i in U_i . We set

$$c := \sum_{i=1}^k c_i - \gamma.$$

Then we have $\partial c = D$. For any $j = 1, \dots, \pi$ we have

$$\int_c \rho^* \omega_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{c_i} \rho^* \omega_j - \int_\gamma \rho^* \omega_j = F_j(x) - \int_\gamma \rho^* \omega_j = t_j - t_j = 0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_c \rho^* \omega = 0$$

for any $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$. By Theorem 4.2 (a generalized Abel's theorem) there exists $f \in \text{Mer}(X)$ with $f \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$ such that

$$(f) = D = \sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - a_i).$$

Let d_i/z_i be the principal part of f at a_i . Then $d_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. By the residue theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{P \in X} \text{Res}_P(f \rho^* \omega_i) \\ &= \sum_{P \in X \setminus S} \text{Res}_P(f \rho^* \omega_i) + \sum_{Q \in \overline{S}} c_Q \sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k d_j \varphi_{ij}(a_j) \end{aligned}$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, \pi$. This contradicts that $M = (\varphi_{ij}(a_j))$ is invertible. $\square$

By the above proposition we have

$$A = H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})^* / H_1(X \setminus S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\pi / \Gamma$$

as a complex Lie group. We call A with the structure as a complex Lie group the analytic Albanese variety of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$, and write it as $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. We shall study its properties in detail in the following sections.

5.5. Toroidal groups and quasi-abelian varieties. A connected complex Lie group G is said to be a toroidal group if $H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G) = \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{O}_G$ is the structure sheaf on G . It is well-known that a toroidal group G is commutative. Then we have $G \cong \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda$ as a complex Lie group, where $n = \dim G$ and Λ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{rank } \Lambda = n + m$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$). Let $\lambda_1 = (\lambda_{11}, \dots, \lambda_{1n}), \dots, \lambda_{n+m} = (\lambda_{n+m,1}, \dots, \lambda_{n+m,n}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be generators of Λ . Then the matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \dots & \lambda_{n+m,1} \\ \lambda_{12} & \dots & \lambda_{n+m,2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_{1n} & \dots & \lambda_{n+m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

is called a period matrix of G . By a suitable change of variables and generators we have the following normal form of P

$$(5.4) \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m & T \\ I_{n-m} & R_1 & R_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_k is the unit matrix of degree k , the matrix $(I_m \ T)$ is a period matrix of an m -dimensional complex torus and $(R_1 \ R_2)$ is a real matrix. We call the coordinates in (5.4) toroidal coordinates of G and write them as follows

$$z = (z', z'') = (z_1, \dots, z_m; z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n).$$

The projection $z \mapsto z'$ in these coordinates makes G a fibre bundle $\sigma : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ over an m -dimensional complex torus $\mathbb{T}$ with fibres $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}$.

Let $\mathbb{R}_\Lambda^{n+m}$ be the real linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ spanned by Λ . We denote by $\mathbb{C}_\Lambda^m$ the maximal complex linear subspace contained in $\mathbb{R}_\Lambda^{n+m}$. It is well-known that $\dim \mathbb{C}_\Lambda^m = m$.

Definition 5.12. A toroidal group $G = \mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda$ is a quasi-abelian variety if there exists a hermitian form $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathbb{C}_\Lambda^m$ such that

- (1) $\mathcal{H}$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{C}_\Lambda^m$,
- (2) the imaginary part $\mathcal{A} := \text{Im } \mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued on $\Lambda \times \Lambda$.

A hermitian form $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the above conditions (1) and (2) is said to be an ample Riemann form for G . We set $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda := \mathcal{A}|_{\mathbb{R}_\Lambda^{n+m} \times \mathbb{R}_\Lambda^{n+m}}$ for an ample Riemann form $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ is an alternating form, we have

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{A}_\Lambda = 2(m+k), \quad 0 \leq 2k \leq n-m.$$

In this case we say that an ample Riemann form $\mathcal{H}$ is of kind k .

If a quasi-abelian variety G has an ample Riemann form of kind k , then it also has an ample Riemann form of kind k' for any k' with $2k \leq 2k' \leq n-m$ ([6]). Then we defined the kind of a quasi-abelian variety as follows in [6].

Definition 5.13. The kind of a quasi-abelian variety G is the smallest integer k with $0 \leq 2k \leq n-m$ such that there exists an ample Riemann form of kind k for G .

If $G = \mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda$ is a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0, then the matrix $(I_m \ T)$ in (5.4) is a period matrix of an m -dimensional abelian variety $\mathbb{A}$. Therefore G has the structure of a fibre bundle $\sigma : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ over $\mathbb{A}$ with fibres $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}$. Replacing fibres $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}$ with $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-m}$, we obtain the associated bundle $\bar{\sigma} : \bar{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ over $\mathbb{A}$ with fibres $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-m}$. We say that $\bar{G}$ is the standard compactification of a quasi-abelian variety G of kind 0.

Conversely, if the matrix $(I_m \ T)$ in (5.4) is a period matrix of an m -dimensional abelian variety, then G is a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0.

We refer to [4, 5] for further properties of toroidal groups.

5.6. Canonical form of analytic Albanese varieties. By Proposition 5.11 we have

$$A = H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})^*/H_1(X \setminus S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\pi/\Gamma$$

as a complex Lie group. The theorem of Remmert-Morimoto says that

$$A \cong \mathbb{C}^\pi/\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^p \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^q \times G,$$

where G is a toroidal group of dimension r and $p+q+r=\pi$.

Proposition 5.14. G is a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0.

Proof. We use the same notations as in Section 5.4. Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\pi\}$ be a basis of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$ such that $\{\rho^*\omega_1, \dots, \rho^*\omega_g\}$ is a basis of $H^0(X, \Omega)$. We may assume that

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\alpha_i} \rho^*\omega_j \\ \int_{\beta_i} \rho^*\omega_j \end{pmatrix} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq g} = \begin{pmatrix} I_g & \tau \end{pmatrix}$$

is a period matrix of $\text{Alb}(X)$, where τ is a matrix in the Siegel upper half space $\mathfrak{S}_g$ of degree g . By a well-known fact concerning meromorphic differentials on a Riemann surface (for example, see Theorem II.5.1 in [9]), we can take $\omega_{g+1}, \dots, \omega_\pi$ such as

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{\gamma_i} \rho^*\omega_{g+j} = 0, \quad 1 \text{ or } -1.$$

Moreover, we replace $\omega_{g+1}, \dots, \omega_\pi$ with $\omega'_{g+1}, \dots, \omega'_\pi$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega'_{g+1} \\ \vdots \\ \omega'_\pi \end{pmatrix} := - \left(\int_{\alpha_i} \rho^*\omega_{g+j} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_g \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{g+1} \\ \vdots \\ \omega_\pi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then a period matrix P of A is as follows

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I_g & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & \left(\int_{\gamma_i} \rho^*\omega_{g+j} \right) & \left(\int_{\beta_i} \rho^*\omega_{g+j} \right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we write new forms $\omega'_{g+1}, \dots, \omega'_\pi$ as $\omega_{g+1}, \dots, \omega_\pi$. We note that the above change of $\omega_{g+1}, \dots, \omega_\pi$ preserves the property (5.5). We set

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} I_g & 0 \\ R_1 & I_{\pi-g} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $R_1 = - \left(\text{Im} \left(\int_{\beta_i} \rho^*\omega_{g+j} \right) \right) (\text{Im} \tau)^{-1}$. Then we have

$$\tilde{P} := MP = \begin{pmatrix} I_g & 0 & \tau \\ R_1 & E & R_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where R_1 and R_2 are real matrices and entries of E are 0, 1 or -1 . Since $\begin{pmatrix} I_g & \tau \end{pmatrix}$ is a period matrix of a g -dimensional abelian variety, G is a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0. $\square$

By the above proposition, A has the following representation as a complex Lie group

$$A \cong \mathbb{C}^p \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^q \times \mathfrak{Q},$$

where $\mathfrak{Q}$ is a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0. We call this representation the canonical form of the analytic Albanese variety of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ and write

$$\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathbb{C}^p \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^q \times \mathfrak{Q}.$$

5.7. Analytic Albanese varieties. Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\pi$ be a basis of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$. We define a period map φ with base point $P_0 \in X \setminus S$ by

$$\varphi : X \setminus S \longrightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}}), \quad P \longmapsto \left[\left(\int_{P_0}^P \rho^*\omega_1, \dots, \int_{P_0}^P \rho^*\omega_\pi \right) \right].$$

Consider a commutative complex Lie group G . Any holomorphic map $\psi : X \setminus S \rightarrow G$ can be extended to a homomorphism on $\text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ by

$$\psi(D) := \sum_{P \in X \setminus S} D(P) \psi(P)$$

for $D = \sum_{P \in X \setminus S} D(P)P \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. If g is a meromorphic function on X with $g \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$, then

$$\psi((g)) := \sum_{P \in X \setminus S} \text{ord}_P(g) \psi(P)$$

is well-defined.

Definition 5.15. We say that a holomorphic map $\psi : X \setminus S \rightarrow G$ admits $\mathfrak{m}$ for a modulus if $\psi((f)) = 0$ for any meromorphic function f on X satisfying $f \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ with some multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$.

Proposition 5.16. *The period map $\varphi : X \setminus S \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ defined above admits $\mathfrak{m}$ for a modulus. Furthermore, it is a holomorphic embedding if $g \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let f be a meromorphic function on X with $f \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$. By Theorem 4.2 (a generalized Abel's theorem) there exists a 1-chain $c \in C_1(X \setminus S)$ with $\partial c = (f)$ such that

$$\int_c \rho^* \omega = 0$$

for any $\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Then we have $\varphi((f)) = 0$.

Since $\rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \rho^* \omega_{\pi}$ have no common zero, $d\varphi(P) \neq 0$ at any $P \in X \setminus S$. Next we show that $\varphi : X \setminus S \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is injective. Assume that there exist two distinct points P and P' in $X \setminus S$ such that $\varphi(P) = \varphi(P')$. Then we have $\varphi((P - P')) = 0$. Applying Theorem 4.2 again, we obtain a meromorphic function f on X with $f \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$ such that $(f) = P - P'$. Since the genus of X is $g \geq 1$, there does not exist such a function. Therefore φ is injective. $\square$

For a complex manifold M , we denote by $M^{(r)}$ its symmetric product of degree r . We can extend $\varphi : X \setminus S \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ to a holomorphic map $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(r)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

Theorem 5.17. *The map $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{\pi}$ be points in Lemma 5.10. We set

$$D_0 := a_1 + \dots + a_{\pi} \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}).$$

We take a coordinate neighbourhood (U_j, t_j) of a_j with $t_j(a_j) = 0$. We may assume that U_j is simply connected and $\{U_j\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Let

$$K := \varphi(D_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{\pi} \varphi(a_j).$$

Each $\rho^* \omega_k$ has a representation

$$\rho^* \omega_k = \eta_{kj}(t_j) dt_j$$

with respect to (U_j, t_j) for all $j = 1, \dots, \pi$. As shown in the proof of Proposition 5.11, we may assume that

$$\varphi : U_1 \times \cdots \times U_\pi \longrightarrow W := \varphi(U_1 \times \cdots \times U_\pi)$$

is biholomorphic and the image of $z = (z_1, \dots, z_\pi) \in U_1 \times \cdots \times U_\pi$ by φ is $K + (\varphi_1(z), \dots, \varphi_\pi(z))$, where

$$\varphi_\ell(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\pi} \int_0^{z_j} \eta_{\ell j}(t_j) dt_j \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, \dots, \pi.$$

For any $c = (c_1, \dots, c_\pi) \in \mathbb{C}^\pi$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K + c/N \in W$. Then there exists $(P_1, \dots, P_\pi) \in U_1 \times \cdots \times U_\pi$ such that

$$\varphi(P_1 + \cdots + P_\pi) = K + \frac{c}{N}$$

or

$$N(\varphi(P_1 + \cdots + P_\pi) - K) = c.$$

It suffices to show that there exists a positive divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ with $\deg D = \pi$ such that

$$\varphi(D) = N(\varphi(P_1 + \cdots + P_\pi) - K).$$

Put

$$\tilde{D} := N \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\pi} a_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\pi} P_j \right) - \pi P_0.$$

Then $\deg \tilde{D} = -\pi$. Let $\overline{S} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$. For any $i = 1, \dots, s$ we define

$$\mathfrak{m}_i(P) := \begin{cases} \mathfrak{m}(P) & \text{if } P \in \rho^{-1}(Q_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathfrak{m}_i$ is a modulus with support $\rho^{-1}(Q_i)$. We set

$$\mathfrak{n}_i := \mathfrak{m}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{m}_i.$$

We denote by $X_{\mathfrak{n}_i}$ the singular curve constructed from X by $\mathfrak{n}_i$. If π_i is the genus of $X_{\mathfrak{n}_i}$, then we have

$$\pi_i = g + \deg \mathfrak{n}_i - i = g + \sum_{\alpha=1}^i \deg \mathfrak{m}_\alpha - i.$$

We note that $\tilde{D} + \sum_{\alpha=i+1}^s \mathfrak{m}_\alpha \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathfrak{n}_i})$ for any i . Applying Theorem 3.13 on $X_{\mathfrak{n}_i}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathfrak{n}_i}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{n}_i} \left(-\tilde{D} - \sum_{\alpha=i+1}^s \mathfrak{m}_\alpha \right) \right) \\ &= \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathfrak{n}_i}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{n}_i} \left(\tilde{D} + \sum_{\alpha=i+1}^s \mathfrak{m}_\alpha \right) \right) + \deg \left(-\tilde{D} - \sum_{\alpha=i+1}^s \mathfrak{m}_\alpha \right) + 1 - \pi_i \\ &= \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathfrak{n}_i}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{n}_i} \left(\tilde{D} + \sum_{\alpha=i+1}^s \mathfrak{m}_\alpha \right) \right) + i - s + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho_i : X_{\mathbf{n}_i} \longrightarrow X_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}}$ be the projection. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} & H^0 \left(X_{\mathbf{n}_i}, \Omega_{\mathbf{n}_i} \left(\tilde{D} + \sum_{\alpha=i+1}^s \mathbf{m}_\alpha \right) \right) \\ &= \left\{ \rho_i^* \omega; \omega \in H^0 \left(X_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}} \left(\tilde{D} + \sum_{\alpha=i+2}^s \mathbf{m}_\alpha \right) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain by the above equality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathbf{n}_i}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{n}_i} \left(-\tilde{D} - \sum_{\alpha=i+1}^s \mathbf{m}_\alpha \right) \right) \\ &= \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}} \left(\tilde{D} + \sum_{\alpha=i+2}^s \mathbf{m}_\alpha \right) \right) + i - s + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying again Theorem 3.13 on $X_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}} \left(-\tilde{D} - \sum_{\alpha=i+2}^s \mathbf{m}_\alpha \right) \right) - 1 \\ &= \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}} \left(\tilde{D} + \sum_{\alpha=i+2}^s \mathbf{m}_\alpha \right) \right) + i - s + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathbf{n}_i}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{n}_i} \left(-\tilde{D} - \sum_{\alpha=i+1}^s \mathbf{m}_\alpha \right) \right) \\ &= \dim H^0 \left(X_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{n}_{i+1}} \left(\tilde{D} + \sum_{\alpha=i+2}^s \mathbf{m}_\alpha \right) \right) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\mathbf{n}_0 = 0$, we see that the above equality holds for $i = 0$. From these equalities it follows that

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(-\tilde{D} - \mathbf{m})) = \dim H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}(-\tilde{D})) - s.$$

Let

$$H_i := \{f \in H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}(-\tilde{D})); f(Q_i) = 0\}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then we have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(-\tilde{D} - \mathbf{m})) = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \{ \rho^* f; f \in H_i \}.$$

From the above equality on the dimension it follows that

$$H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}(-\tilde{D})) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^s H_i \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, there exists $f \in H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}(-\tilde{D}))$ with $(\rho^* f) \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$. If we set

$$D := (\rho^* f) - \tilde{D},$$

then we have $D \geq 0$ and $D \in \text{Div}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$ for

$$(\rho^* f) \geq -(-\tilde{D}) = \tilde{D}.$$

Since $D + \tilde{D} = (\rho^*f)$, we obtain $\varphi(D + \tilde{D}) = 0$ by Theorem 4.2. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varphi(D) + \varphi\left(N\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\pi} a_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\pi} P_j\right) - \pi P_0\right) \\ &= \varphi(D) + N\left(K - \varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\pi} P_j\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\varphi(D) = N\left(\varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\pi} P_j\right) - K\right).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark. It follows from the above theorem that $\varphi(X \setminus S)$ generates $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$.

Corollary 5.18. We have an isomorphism $\overline{\text{Div}^0(X_m)} \cong \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ as groups.

Proof. We have a homomorphism $\varphi : \text{Div}^0(X_m) \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$. By Theorem 5.17, for any $a \in \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ there exists a positive divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X_m)$ with $\deg D = \pi$ such that $\varphi(D) = a$. Since $D - \pi P_0 \in \text{Div}^0(X_m)$ and $\varphi(D - \pi P_0) = \varphi(D) = a$, the map $\varphi : \text{Div}^0(X_m) \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is surjective. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\text{Ker} \varphi = \{(f); f \in \text{Mer}(X) \text{ with } f \equiv c(\bar{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ for some multiconstant } c(\bar{S})\}.$$

Therefore we have $\overline{\text{Div}^0(X_m)} \cong \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$. $\square$

Theorem 5.19. The map $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is bimeromorphic.

Proof. Let W be the set of all points at which the holomorphic map $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is not degenerate. Then it is obvious that W is open and dense in $(X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}$. Take any $(P_1, \dots, P_{\pi}) \in W$. We set $D := P_1 + \dots + P_{\pi}$. Then we have $H^0(X_m, \Omega_m(-D)) = \{0\}$. From Theorem 3.13 it follows that

$$\dim H^0(X_m, \mathcal{L}_m(D)) = 1.$$

We show that $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(D)) = D$. Suppose that there exists another $E \in \text{Div}(X_m)$ with $\deg E = \pi$ and $E \geq 0$ such that $\varphi(D) = \varphi(E)$. By Theorem 4.2 we have a meromorphic function f on X with $f \equiv c(\bar{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\bar{S})$ such that $E - D = (f)$. Since $D + (f) = E \geq 0$, we have $(f) \geq -D$. Then $f \in H^0(X_m, \mathcal{L}_m(D))$. However any function in $H^0(X_m, \mathcal{L}_m(D))$ must be constant for $\dim H^0(X_m, \mathcal{L}_m(D)) = 1$. Therefore we have $E = D$. Thus we conclude that $\varphi|_W : W \rightarrow \varphi(W)$ is biholomorphic. The map $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is obviously proper. Then $\varphi(W)$ is an open dense subset of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$.

Next we show that the map

$$\psi_W := (\varphi|_W)^{-1} : \varphi(W) \rightarrow (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}$$

is meromorphic through $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$. We denote by $G(\psi_W)$ and $G(\varphi)$ be graphs of ψ_W and φ respectively. Then the closure $\overline{G(\psi_W)}$ of $G(\psi_W)$ in $(X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \times \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ coincides with $G(\varphi)$. Moreover, the projection $p : \overline{G(\psi_W)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is proper for so is $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$. Therefore $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is a bimeromorphic map. $\square$

As in Section 5.6 we write

$$\mathrm{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathbb{C}^p \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^q \times \Omega,$$

where Ω is an r -dimensional quasi-abelian variety of kind 0 and $p + q + r = \pi$. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^r / \Gamma_0$, where Γ_0 is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^r$ with rank $\Gamma_0 = r + s$. Then Ω is a fibre bundle over an s -dimensional abelian variety A_0 with fibres $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r-s}$. Let $\overline{\Omega}$ be the standard compactification of Ω . Compactifying $\mathbb{C}^p \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^q$ by $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{p+q}$, we obtain a compactification

$$\overline{\mathrm{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})} := (\mathbb{P}^1)^{p+q} \times \overline{\Omega}$$

of $\mathrm{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. We call it the standard compactification of $\mathrm{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

Remark. The map $\varphi : X \setminus S \rightarrow \mathrm{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ does not extend to a holomorphic map $\overline{\varphi} : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})}$.

5.8. The universality of analytic Albanese varieties. Let G be a commutative complex Lie group. Then, by the theorem of Remmert-Morimoto we have

$$G \cong \mathbb{C}^p \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^q \times G_0,$$

where $G_0 = \mathbb{C}^r / \Gamma$ is a toroidal group with rank $\Gamma = r + s$ ($1 \leq s \leq r$). The toroidal group G_0 has the structure of principal $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r-s}$ -bundle $\sigma : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ over an s -dimensional complex torus $\mathbb{T}$. Replacing fibres $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r-s}$ with $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{r-s}$, we obtain the associated $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{r-s}$ -bundle $\overline{\sigma} : \overline{G_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. We call $\overline{G} = (\mathbb{P}^1)^{p+q} \times \overline{G_0}$ the standard compactification of G .

The following theorem is considered as an analytic version of Théorème 1 in [16].

Theorem 5.20. *Let X be a compact Riemann surface, and let S be a finite subset of X . We consider a commutative complex Lie group G with the standard compactification $\overline{G}$. Let $f : X \setminus S \rightarrow G$ be a holomorphic map which has the holomorphic extension $\overline{f} : X \rightarrow \overline{G}$. Then there exists a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$ with support S such that f admits $\mathfrak{m}$ for a modulus with respect to any equivalence relation R on S .*

Proof. Let $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G$. There exists a basis $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ of the vector space of holomorphic 1-forms on G which are invariant by translation. For any i the pull-back $f^*\omega_i$ of ω_i is a holomorphic 1-form on $X \setminus S$ which extends meromorphically to X . Then, for any $P \in S$ we can take a positive integer n_P such that

$$\mathrm{ord}_P(f^*\omega_i) \geq -n_P \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We define a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$ with support S by $\mathfrak{m} := \sum_{P \in S} n_P P$.

Consider any equivalence relation R on S , and set $\overline{S} := S/R$. It suffices to show that $f((\varphi)) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Mer}(X)$ with $\varphi \equiv c(\overline{S}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some multiconstant $c(\overline{S})$. The function φ gives a holomorphic map $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathrm{Trace}_{\Phi}(f^*\omega_i)$ be the trace of $f^*\omega_i$ by Φ . Then $\mathrm{Trace}_{\Phi}(f^*\omega_i)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \varphi(S)$. By the same proof of Assertion in Section 4.5, we can show that it is holomorphic on $\mathbb{P}^1$, hence $\mathrm{Trace}_{\Phi}(f^*\omega_i) = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). On the other hand, we can define the trace $\tilde{f} := \mathrm{Trace}_{\Phi}(f) : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \varphi(S) \rightarrow G$ of f by Φ . Since $\tilde{f}^*\omega_i = \mathrm{Trace}_{\Phi}(f^*\omega_i)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \varphi(S)$, we have $\tilde{f}^*\omega_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, the tangent map of $\tilde{f}$ vanishes. This means that $\tilde{f}$ is a constant map. We denote by $(\varphi)_a$ the zeros of $\varphi - a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \varphi(S)$. We note $f((\varphi)_a) = \tilde{f}(a)$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is a constant map, we have $\tilde{f}(a) = \tilde{f}(b)$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \varphi(S)$. Therefore we obtain

$$f((\varphi)) = f((\varphi)_0) - f((\varphi)_\infty) = \tilde{f}(0) - \tilde{f}(\infty) = 0.$$




Fig.1

□

Theorem 5.21 (Universality Property). *Let G be a commutative complex Lie group, and let P_0 be the base point of the map $\varphi : X \setminus S \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$. Then, for any holomorphic map $\psi : X \setminus S \rightarrow G$ which admits $\mathfrak{m}$ for a modulus there exists uniquely a homomorphism $\Psi : \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m) \rightarrow G$ between complex Lie groups such that $\psi = \Psi \circ \varphi + g_0$, where $g_0 = \psi(P_0)$.*

Proof. We may assume $g_0 = 0$ without loss of generality. Any holomorphic map $\psi : X \setminus S \rightarrow G$ can be extended to a group homomorphism $\psi : \overline{\text{Div}^0(X_m)} \rightarrow G$, for it admits $\mathfrak{m}$ for a modulus. By Corollary 5.18 we have an isomorphism $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{\text{Div}^0(X_m)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$. Then there exists uniquely a group homomorphism $\Psi : \text{Alb}^{an}(X_m) \rightarrow G$ such that $\psi = \Psi \circ \overline{\varphi}$ on $\overline{\text{Div}^0(X_m)}$.

It suffices to show that Ψ is holomorphic. We denote by $S\psi$ the extension of ψ to $(X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}$. By Theorem 5.19 there exists an open dense subset W of $(X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}$ such that $\varphi|_W : W \rightarrow \varphi(W)$ is biholomorphic and $\varphi(W)$ is an open dense subset of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$. If we set $\tau := (\varphi|_W)^{-1} : \varphi(W) \rightarrow W$, then $\Psi = S\psi \circ \tau$ on $\varphi(W)$. Then Ψ is holomorphic on $\varphi(W)$. Since $\varphi(W)$ is open and dense in $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$, Ψ is holomorphic on the whole of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$. □

6. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF ANALYTIC ALBANESE VARIETIES

6.1. Curves with general singularities. We extend the method developed in [2] to the general setting. Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g , and let $S = \{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$ be a finite subset of X . Considering an equivalence relation R on S , we set $\overline{S} = S/R$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a modulus with support S . Then we obtain a singular curve $X_m = (X \setminus S) \cup \overline{S}$ with the projection $\rho : X \rightarrow X_m$. The genus of X_m is $\pi = \dim H^0(X_m, \Omega_m)$. Let $\pi = g + \delta$. We take a homology basis $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g\}$ of X and small circles $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\}$ as in Fig.1.

Then the set $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}\}$ forms a basis of $H_1(X \setminus S, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(X_{\mathbf{m}} \setminus \overline{S}, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\pi\}$ be a basis of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$ such that $\{\rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \rho^* \omega_g\}$ is a basis of $H^0(X, \Omega)$ and satisfies

$$\int_{\alpha_j} \rho^* \omega_i = \delta_{ij}, \quad \tau = \left(\int_{\beta_j} \rho^* \omega_i \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq g} \in \mathfrak{S}_g.$$

Each $\rho^* \omega_{g+i}$ is a meromorphic differential on X which is holomorphic on $X \setminus S$ and satisfies

$$\text{ord}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) \geq -\mathbf{m}(P) \quad \text{for any } P \in S$$

and

$$\sum_{P \in \rho^{-1}(Q)} \text{Res}_P(\rho^*(f \omega_{g+i})) = 0$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{m}, Q}$ and any $Q \in \overline{S}$. Renumbering $\{\rho^* \omega_{g+i}\}$ if necessary, we can take an integer k with $0 \leq k \leq \delta$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, k$ there exists $P \in S$ with $\text{ord}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = -1$ and for $k < i \leq \delta$ we have $\text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = 0$ for any $P \in S$. Moreover, we normalize as follows:

For $1 \leq i \leq k$ the form $\rho^* \omega_{g+i}$ is holomorphic except $\{P_i, P_{k+i}\}$ and $\text{ord}_{P_i}(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = \text{ord}_{P_{k+i}}(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = -1$ with $\text{Res}_{P_i}(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = 1/(2\pi\sqrt{-1})$. If $k < i \leq \delta$, then $\text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = 0$ for any $P \in S$.

Remark. Let $\rho^* \omega_{g+1}, \dots, \rho^* \omega_\pi$ be normalized as above. Take i with $k < i \leq \delta$. Let $P \in S$. We have the representation $\rho^* \omega_{g+i} = f(t)dt$ by a local coordinate t at P , where $f(t)$ is a meromorphic function. Then the Laurent expansion of $f(t)$ at $t(P) = 0$ does not contain a term $1/t$.

Combining the normalization in the proof of Proposition 5.14 in Section 5.6 with the above normalization, we may assume that $\rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \rho^* \omega_\pi$ are further normalized as

$$\left(\left(\int_{\alpha_j} \rho^* \omega_i \right) \quad \left(\int_{\gamma_j} \rho^* \omega_i \right) \right) = \begin{pmatrix} I_g & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} I_k & -I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$B := \left(\int_{\beta_j} \rho^* \omega_{g+i} \right)_{i=1, \dots, \delta; j=1, \dots, g}.$$

Then we have a period matrix of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_g & \tau \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_k & -I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider a simply connected domain D obtained by cutting X open along $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g$. Let D_0 be the subdomain surrounded by ∂D and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$ (see Fig.2).

Fixing a point $P_0 \in D_0$, we define

$$h_j(z) := \int_{P_0}^z \rho^* \omega_j$$




Fig.2

for any $z \in D$ and $j = 1, \dots, g$. Then h_j is a holomorphic function on D smoothly extended to $\overline{D}$, which satisfies $dh_j = \rho^* \omega_j$. Let $p_+ \in \alpha_i^+$. We denote by $p_- \in \alpha_i^-$ the point corresponding to p_+ . Then we have

$$h_j(p_-) = h_j(p_+) + \int_{\beta_i} \rho^* \omega_j.$$

Similarly we have

$$h_j(q_-) = h_j(q_+) - \int_{\alpha_i} \rho^* \omega_j$$

for $q_+ \in \beta_i^+$ and its correspondent point $q_- \in \beta_i^-$. Then we have for $j = 1, \dots, g$ and $\ell = 1, \dots, \delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \iint_{D_0} \rho^* \omega_j \wedge \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \\
&= \iint_{D_0} d(h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell}) \\
&= \int_{\partial D_0} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\int_{\alpha_i^+} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} + \int_{\beta_i^+} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\int_{\alpha_i^-} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} + \int_{\beta_i^-} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\nu=1}^s \int_{\gamma_\nu} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\int_{\alpha_i} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} + \int_{\beta_i} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^g \left[\int_{\alpha_i} \left(h_j + \int_{\beta_i} \rho^* \omega_j \right) \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} + \int_{\beta_i} \left(h_j - \int_{\alpha_i} \rho^* \omega_j \right) \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{\nu=1}^s \int_{\gamma_\nu} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^g \left[\left(\int_{\beta_i} \rho^* \omega_j \right) \left(\int_{\alpha_i} \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \right) - \left(\int_{\alpha_i} \rho^* \omega_j \right) \left(\int_{\beta_i} \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{\nu=1}^s \int_{\gamma_\nu} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} \\
&= \int_{\beta_j} \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} - \sum_{\nu=1}^s \int_{\gamma_\nu} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{\gamma_\nu} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} h_j(P_\nu) \text{Res}_{P_\nu}(\rho^* \omega_{g+\ell}),$$

we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^s \int_{\gamma_\nu} h_j \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{P \in S} h_j(P) \text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+\ell}).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\int_{\beta_j} \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{P \in S} h_j(P) \text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+\ell}).$$

By the normalization of $\rho^* \omega_{g+1}, \dots, \rho^* \omega_\pi$, we obtain

$$\sum_{P \in S} h_j(P) \text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+\ell}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} (h_j(P_\ell) - h_j(P_{k+\ell}))$$

for $j = 1, \dots, g$ if $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, and

$$\sum_{P \in S} h_j(P) \text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+\ell}) = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, g$ if $k+1 \leq \ell \leq \delta$. Therefore we have

$$\int_{\beta_j} \rho^* \omega_{g+\ell} = \begin{cases} h_j(P_\ell) - h_j(P_{k+\ell}) & \text{if } 1 \leq \ell \leq k, \\ 0 & \text{if } k+1 \leq \ell \leq \delta \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, g$. We set

$$H_k(S) := \begin{pmatrix} h_1(P_1) - h_1(P_{k+1}) & \cdots & h_g(P_1) - h_g(P_{k+1}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_1(P_k) - h_1(P_{2k}) & \cdots & h_g(P_k) - h_g(P_{2k}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} H_k(S) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ be as above. Then we have a period matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_g & \tau & 0 \\ 0 & H_k(S) & I_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$.

6.2. Curves with nodes, the case $\pi = 2$. Let $\mathcal{M}_g$ be the moduli space of non-singular curves of genus g . The compactification $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$ of $\mathcal{M}_g$ is obtained by adding stable curves of genus g ([7, 8]). An irreducible stable curve is a curve with only nodes as singularities. We have a result for curves of general genus with nodes in [2]. We consider the case $\pi = 2$ in this section. We show that an analytic Albanese variety may have many algebraic structures.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. Taking distinct points P_1 and P_2 in X , we set $S = \{P_1, P_2\}$. Let $\mathbf{m}$ be a modulus with support S defined by $\mathbf{m}(P_1) = \mathbf{m}(P_2) = 1$. We identify P_1 with P_2 and put $\overline{S} = \{Q\}$. Then we obtain a singular curve $X_{\mathbf{m}} = (X \setminus S) \cup \overline{S}$ with the only node Q . Let $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ be a homology basis of X and small circles respectively as in Fig.1 in the preceding section. We take a basis $\{\omega, \eta\}$ of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$ such that $\rho^* \omega$ generates $H^0(X, \Omega)$, where $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{m}}$ is the projection. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the upper half plane. We may assume that ω is normalized as

$$\int_{\alpha} \rho^* \omega = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\beta} \rho^* \omega = \tau \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Furthermore we can take η satisfying

$$\int_{\alpha} \rho^* \eta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Res}_{P_1}(\rho^* \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}.$$

Then we have necessarily $\text{Res}_{P_2}(\rho^* \eta) = -1/(2\pi\sqrt{-1})$. In this case we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\alpha} \rho^* \omega & \int_{\gamma_1} \rho^* \omega \\ \int_{\alpha} \rho^* \eta & \int_{\gamma_1} \rho^* \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let D and D_0 be as in the preceding section. We fix $P_0 \in D_0$. Define

$$h(z) := \int_{P_0}^z \rho^* \omega$$

for any $z \in D$. We set

$$H(S) := h(P_1) - h(P_2).$$

By Theorem 6.1, a period matrix of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & 0 & H(S) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that the structure of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is determined by the relation of P_1 and P_2 . The analytic Albanese variety $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is one of the following cases:

- (i) $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m) = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is a complex torus of dimension 1;
- (ii) $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ is a 2-dimensional non-compact quasi-abelian variety.

Remark. In the case (i) $\mathbb{T}$ depends on X_m and is not isomorphic to $J(X)$ in general.

We consider the isomorphic classes of $\{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)\}$. We identify X with $\mathbb{T}_\tau := \mathbb{C}/\Gamma_\tau$, where $\Gamma_\tau = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$. Let z be a coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $[z]$ the equivalence class of z modulo Γ_τ . From this identification it follows that $\rho^*\omega = dz$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{a = s + t\tau; 0 \leq s, t < 1\}$ be the fundamental parallelogram of $X = \mathbb{T}_\tau$. We can take $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $P_1 = [z_1]$ and $P_2 = [z_2]$. Then we have

$$H(S) = z_1 - z_2.$$

For any $a \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$ there exist $P_1 = [z_1]$ and $P_2 = [z_2]$ with $P_1 \neq P_2$ such that $z_1 - z_2 = a$. Then we can take a period matrix of each $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_m)$ as follows

$$P_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We denote by Γ_a a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with period matrix P_a . Let $G_a := \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_a$.

Lemma 6.2. *The quotient group G_a is not toroidal if and only if*

$$a = r + q\tau, \quad r, q \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r, q < 1.$$

Proof. It is well-known (cf. [4, 5]) that G_a is toroidal if and only if there exists no $\sigma = {}^t(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ so that

$$\sigma_1\tau + \sigma_2a \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The assertion is immediate from this condition. $\square$

Next we consider the biholomorphic equivalence on $\{X_m\}$. Let $S' = \{P'_1, P'_2\}$ be another set of distinct two points in X . Using S' , we construct a singular curve $X_{m'}$ of genus 2 as above. Let $\rho' : X \rightarrow X_{m'}$ be the projection.

Lemma 6.3. *A map $f : X_m \rightarrow X_{m'}$ is biholomorphic if and only if there exists an automorphism $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow X$ with $\tilde{f}(S) = S'$ such that $f \circ \rho = \rho' \circ \tilde{f}$.*

Proof. Suppose that a map $f : X_m \rightarrow X_{m'}$ is biholomorphic. Let $g := f|(X \setminus S)$. Then $g : X \setminus S \rightarrow X \setminus S'$ is biholomorphic. By Riemann removability theorem, g has the holomorphic extension $\tilde{f}$ to X with $\tilde{f}(S) = S'$.

The converse is obvious. $\square$

The following proposition is well-known (for example, see Chapter III, Section 1, Proposition 1.12 in [13]).

Proposition 6.4. *Any automorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is induced from a linear function $\Phi(z) = \gamma z + z_0$, where $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and*

$$(*) \quad \gamma = \begin{cases} a \text{ 4}^{th} \text{ root of unity} & \text{if } \Gamma_\tau \text{ is square,} \\ a \text{ 6}^{th} \text{ root of unity} & \text{if } \Gamma_\tau \text{ is hexagonal,} \\ \pm 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 6.5. *Let $X = \mathbb{T}_\tau$. Consider two singular curves $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{m}'}$ of genus 2 with a node constructed from X . We assume that the supports of $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{m}'$ are $S = \{P_1 = [z_1], P_2 = [z_2]\}$ and $S' = \{P'_1 = [z'_1], P'_2 = [z'_2]\}$ respectively. Then, $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{m}'}$ are biholomorphic if and only if*

$$z'_1 - z'_2 \equiv \gamma(z_1 - z_2) \pmod{\Gamma_\tau},$$

where γ is a complex number possessing the property $(*)$ in Proposition 6.4.

Proof. Let $f : X_{\mathbf{m}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{m}'}$ be a biholomorphic map. By Lemma 6.3 we have an automorphism $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow X$ with $\tilde{f}(S) = S'$ such that $f \circ \rho = \rho' \circ \tilde{f}$. It follows from Proposition 6.4 that $\tilde{f}$ is induced from a linear function $F(z) = \gamma z + z_0$, where γ possesses the property $(*)$ in Proposition 6.4. Since $\tilde{f}(S) = S'$, $\tilde{f}$ satisfies one of the following two cases:

- (1) $\tilde{f}(P_1) = P'_1$ and $\tilde{f}(P_2) = P'_2$;
- (2) $\tilde{f}(P_1) = P'_2$ and $\tilde{f}(P_2) = P'_1$.

In the case (1), we have

$$[z'_1 - z'_2] = P'_1 - P'_2 = \tilde{f}(P_1) - \tilde{f}(P_2) = [\gamma(z_1 - z_2)].$$

Then we obtain

$$z'_1 - z'_2 \equiv \gamma(z_1 - z_2) \pmod{\Gamma_\tau}.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$z'_1 - z'_2 \equiv -\gamma(z_1 - z_2) \pmod{\Gamma_\tau}$$

in the case (2).

Conversely we assume that

$$z'_1 - z'_2 \equiv \gamma(z_1 - z_2) \pmod{\Gamma_\tau}.$$

Letting $z_0 := z'_2 - \gamma z_2$, we define $G(z) := \gamma z + z_0$. Then G gives an automorphism $\tilde{g} : X \rightarrow X$. By the assumption we obtain

$$G(z_1) = \gamma z_1 + z_0 = \gamma(z_1 - z_2) + z'_2 \equiv z'_1 \pmod{\Gamma_\tau}.$$

Then we have $\tilde{g}(P_1) = P'_1$. Since $G(z_2) = z'_2$, we have $\tilde{g}(P_2) = P'_2$. Therefore $\tilde{g}$ gives a biholomorphic map $g : X_{\mathbf{m}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{m}'}$. $\square$

We give examples $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{m}'}$ such that $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}}) \cong \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}'})$ is a 2-dimensional non-compact quasi-abelian variety even though $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{m}'}$ are not biholomorphic. To do this, we have to study the equivalence of period matrices of toroidal groups in detail.

Let $G = \mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma$ and $G' = \mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma'$ be toroidal groups of rank $\Gamma = \text{rank } \Gamma' = n + m$, whose period matrices are P and P' respectively. Then G and G' are isomorphic if and only if there exist $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $A \in GL(n + m, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $P = MP'A$. In this case we write $P \sim P'$.

Every 2-dimensional non-compact toroidal group $G = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ has a period matrix in toroidal coordinates as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & s & t \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see p.10 in [5]). Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau' \\ 1 & s' & t' \end{pmatrix}$$

be a period matrix of another toroidal group $G' = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma'$. If

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & s & t \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau' \\ 1 & s' & t' \end{pmatrix},$$

then there exist $M \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in GL(3, \mathbb{Z})$$

such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & s & t \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau' \\ 1 & s' & t' \end{pmatrix} A.$$

We set

$$\begin{cases} A_{11} = a_{21} + a_{31}\tau', \\ A_{12} = a_{22} + a_{32}\tau', \\ A_{13} = a_{23} + a_{33}\tau', \\ A_{21} = a_{11} + a_{21}s' + a_{31}t', \\ A_{22} = a_{12} + a_{22}s' + a_{32}t', \\ A_{23} = a_{13} + a_{23}s' + a_{33}t'. \end{cases}$$

By a straight calculation we see that M is written by $\{A_{ij}\}$ and

$$\begin{cases} s = \frac{A_{22}}{A_{21}}, \\ t = \frac{A_{23}}{A_{21}}, \\ \tau = \frac{A_{11}A_{23} - A_{13}A_{21}}{A_{11}A_{22} - A_{12}A_{21}}. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the following period matrices

$$P_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume $0 < a, b < 1$. Applying the above result to this case, we obtain that $P_a \sim P_b$ if and only if there exists $A \in GL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} A_{22} = 0, \\ a = \frac{A_{23}}{A_{21}}, \\ \tau = \frac{A_{11}A_{23} - A_{13}A_{21}}{-A_{12}A_{21}}. \end{cases}$$

We see that all of such A 's are

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

by an elementary argument. If we take

$$A = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & 0 & 1-b \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 6.6. Suppose that Γ_τ is neither square nor hexagonal. Let $S = \{P_1, P_2\}$ be a subset of $X = \mathbb{T}_\tau$ with $P_1 = [z_1]$ and $P_2 = [z_2]$. We assume that

$$z_1 - z_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, \quad 0 < z_1 - z_2 < 1, \quad z_1 - z_2 \neq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We take $S' = \{P'_1 = [z'_1], P'_2 = [z'_2]\}$ such as

$$z'_1 - z'_2 = 1 - (z_1 - z_2).$$

We construct $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{m}'}$ from S and S' respectively. Since $z_1 - z_2 \not\equiv \pm(z'_1 - z'_2) \pmod{\Gamma_\tau}$, $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{m}'}$ are not biholomorphic by Proposition 6.5. However $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}}) \cong \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}'})$ and it is a non-compact quasi-abelian variety, for

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & 0 & z'_1 - z'_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 1 & 0 & z_1 - z_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

by the choice of S and S' .

6.3. Curves with cusps. Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g . We set $S = \{P\}$, $P \in X$. We define a modulus $\mathbf{m}$ with support S by $\mathbf{m}(P) = 2$. Let $\bar{S} = \{Q\}$, $Q = P$. Then we obtain a singular curve $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ with the only cusp Q . The genus of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ is $\pi = g + 1$. Let $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g\}$ be a canonical homology basis of X . We take a small circle γ centered at P . We denote by $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{m}}$ the projection. We can take a basis $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g, \eta\}$ of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$ such that $\{\rho^*\omega_1, \dots, \rho^*\omega_g\}$ is a basis of $H^0(X, \Omega)$ and it is normalized as

$$\int_{\alpha_j} \rho^*\omega_i = \delta_{ij}, \quad \tau = \left(\int_{\beta_j} \rho^*\omega_i \right) \in \mathfrak{S}_g$$

and

$$\int_{\alpha_j} \rho^*\eta = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, g.$$

It is obvious that $\rho^*\eta$ is a meromorphic differential with $\text{Res}_P(\rho^*\eta) = 0$. Then we see that $k = 0$, $C = 0$ and

$$\int_{\beta_j} \rho^*\eta = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}h_j(P)\text{Res}_P(\rho^*\eta) = 0$$

in Section 6.1. Therefore a period matrix of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_g & \tau \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.7. *Let $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ be a singular curve whose singularity is the only cusp. If X is the normalization of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$, then we have*

$$\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}}) \cong J(X) \times \mathbb{C}.$$

Remark. If the genus of X is 1, then for any two points P and P' in X there exists an automorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ with $f(P) = P'$. Let $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ be singular curves with the only cusp constructed from P and P' respectively. Then $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong X_{\mathfrak{m}'}$.

Next we assume that X is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then the number of automorphisms of X is at most $84(g-1)$ by Hurwitz' theorem. Fix a singular curve $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ with the only cusp constructed from $P \in X$. Then, there exist infinitely many $X_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ whose singularity is the only cusp Q' such that $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \not\cong X_{\mathfrak{m}'}$. However we have $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}'})$ by the above theorem.

7. MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION FIELDS

7.1. Non-singular case. Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g . We stated some properties of the Jacobi variety $J(X)$ of X in Section 4.1. In this section we state another viewpoint of $J(X)$ considering the connection between the two meromorphic function fields $\text{Mer}(X)$ and $\text{Mer}(J(X))$ on X and on $J(X)$ respectively.

We follow the restatement of the classical argument (cf.[11]) by [14]. The n -dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ is identified with the n -symmetric product $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{(n)}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1$ by the map induced from the following rational map

$$\tau : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \ni (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (a_0 : a_1 : \dots : a_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n,$$

$$\frac{a_1}{a_0} = -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad \frac{a_2}{a_0} = \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j, \quad \dots, \quad \frac{a_n}{a_0} = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i,$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the inhomogeneous coordinates of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and $(a_0 : a_1 : \dots : a_n)$ is the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^n$. We have $x, y \in \text{Mer}(X)$ such that $\text{Mer}(X) = \mathbb{C}(x, y)$. Then we can take a non-zero irreducible polynomial f such that $f(x, y) = 0$. Let C be the closure of $\{f = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then, by the normalization $\mu_0 : X \rightarrow C$ we can define a holomorphic map

$$\mu : X^g \rightarrow C^g \subset (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^g \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^g \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^g.$$

Let $\sigma_0 : X^g \rightarrow X^{(g)}$ be the canonical projection. Since $J(X) \cong \mathbb{C}^g/\Gamma$, we have the projection $\sigma : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow J(X)$. A period map $\varphi : X \rightarrow J(X)$ is extended to a birational map $\varphi : X^{(g)} \rightarrow J(X)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^g & \xrightarrow{\mu} & C^g \subset (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^g \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^g \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^g \\ \sigma_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \times \tau \\ X^{(g)} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} & \mathbb{P}^g \times \mathbb{P}^g \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \sigma_1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow \sigma_2 \\ J(X) & & \mathbb{P}^g \quad \mathbb{P}^g \\ \sigma \uparrow & & \\ \mathbb{C}^g & & \end{array},$$

where $\hat{\mu} : X^{(g)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^g \times \mathbb{P}^g$ is the holomorphic mapping induced from μ and $\sigma_i : \mathbb{P}^g \times \mathbb{P}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^g$ is the projection onto the i -th component for $i = 1, 2$. We note that $\hat{\mu}(X^{(g)})$ is projective algebraic and $\hat{\mu} : X^{(g)} \rightarrow \hat{\mu}(X^{(g)})$ is a birational map. Thus we obtain rational maps $\wp^x : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^g$ and $\wp^y : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^g$ defined by

$$\wp^x := \sigma_1 \circ \hat{\mu} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma, \quad \wp^y := \sigma_2 \circ \hat{\mu} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma.$$

Using homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^g$, we write

$$\wp^x(z) = (1 : \xi_1(z) : \cdots : \xi_g(z)), \quad \wp^y(z) = (1 : \eta_1(z) : \cdots : \eta_g(z)).$$

Then we have $\text{Mer}(J(X)) = \mathbb{C}(\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_g(z), \eta_1(z), \dots, \eta_g(z))$. The relations of $\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_g(z), \eta_1(z), \dots, \eta_g(z)$ are obtained by the elimination of $x_1, \dots, x_g, y_1, \dots, y_g$ from the following equations

$$f(x_1, y_1) = 0, \dots, f(x_g, y_g) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= -\sum_{i=1}^g x_i, \quad \xi_2 = \sum_{i<j} x_i x_j, \dots, \quad \xi_g = (-1)^g \prod_{i=1}^g x_i, \\ \eta_1 &= -\sum_{i=1}^g y_i, \quad \eta_2 = \sum_{i<j} y_i y_j, \dots, \quad \eta_g = (-1)^g \prod_{i=1}^g y_i. \end{aligned}$$

These functions $\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_g(z), \eta_1(z), \dots, \eta_g(z)$ are the fundamental abelian functions belonging to $\text{Mer}(X)$ in [11]. It is easy to check that the meromorphic function field $\mathbb{C}(\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_g(z), \eta_1(z), \dots, \eta_g(z))$ admits an algebraic addition theorem. This is an abelian function field.

7.2. Singular case. In this section we develop the theory in the preceding section to a singular curve $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ defined by a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$ with support S .

Let $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the projection. We denote by $\pi = g + \delta$ the genus of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where g is the genus of X . We set $K := \rho^* \text{Mer}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset \text{Mer}(X)$. Then there exist $x, y \in K$ such that $K = \mathbb{C}(x, y)$. Since x and y are algebraically dependent, we have a non-zero irreducible polynomial f such that $f(x, y) = 0$. Let C be the closure of $\{f = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We obtain the following commutative diagram in the same manner as in the preceding section:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^\pi & \xrightarrow{\mu} & C^\pi \subset (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^\pi \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^\pi \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^\pi \\ \sigma_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \times \tau \\ X^{(\pi)} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} & \mathbb{P}^\pi \times \mathbb{P}^\pi \\ \cup & & \sigma_1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow \sigma_2 \\ (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} & & \mathbb{P}^\pi \quad \mathbb{P}^\pi \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \\ \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) & & \\ \sigma \uparrow & & \\ \mathbb{C}^\pi & & \end{array}.$$

By Theorem 5.19 the map $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is bimeromorphic. Therefore we can define meromorphic maps $\wp^x : \mathbb{C}^\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\pi$ and $\wp^y : \mathbb{C}^\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\pi$ by

$$\wp^x := \sigma_1 \circ \hat{\mu} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \wp^y := \sigma_2 \circ \hat{\mu} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma.$$

If we represent $\wp^x$ and $\wp^y$ in homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^\pi$ as

$$\wp^x(z) = (1 : \xi_1(z) : \cdots : \xi_\pi(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad \wp^y(z) = (1 : \eta_1(z) : \cdots : \eta_\pi(z)),$$

then $\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_\pi(z), \eta_1(z), \dots, \eta_\pi(z) \in \text{Mer}(\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}}))$. For the simplicity we write $A = \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Let $[z]$ be a generic point of A , where $z \in \mathbb{C}^\pi$. Then

there exists uniquely $(P_1, \dots, P_\pi) \in (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}$ with $\varphi((P_1, \dots, P_\pi)) = [z]$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1(z) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{\pi} x(P_i), \quad \xi_2(z) = \sum_{i < j} x(P_i)x(P_j), \dots, \quad \xi_\pi(z) = (-1)^\pi \prod_{i=1}^{\pi} x(P_i), \\ \eta_1(z) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{\pi} y(P_i), \quad \eta_2(z) = \sum_{i < j} y(P_i)y(P_j), \dots, \quad \eta_\pi(z) = (-1)^\pi \prod_{i=1}^{\pi} y(P_i). \end{aligned}$$

We denote $\kappa := \mathbb{C}(\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_\pi(z), \eta_1(z), \dots, \eta_\pi(z)) \subset \text{Mer}(A)$. From the above representation it follows that any function in $\varphi^*\kappa$ extends meromorphically to S and $\varphi^*\kappa = \text{Mer}((X_{\mathbf{m}})^{(\pi)}) \subset \text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)})$, where $(X_{\mathbf{m}})^{(\pi)}$ is the symmetric product of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ of degree π . Therefore $\varphi^*\kappa$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Trans}_{\mathbb{C}}\varphi^*\kappa = \pi$. Since $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow A$ is a bimeromorphic map, κ is also finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Trans}_{\mathbb{C}}\kappa = \pi$.

We have the canonical representation

$$A = \mathcal{Q} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^q \times \mathbb{C}^p,$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is an r -dimensional quasi-abelian variety of kind 0 and $p + q + r = \pi$ (see Section 5.6). Let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a period matrix of A , where

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g & \tau \\ I_{r-g} & R_1 & R_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a period matrix of $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{C}^r/\Gamma_0$ in the second normal form. We may assume that basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\pi$ of $H^0(X_{\mathbf{m}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}})$ are normalized as in Section 6.1. We take toroidal coordinates

$$z = (z', z'') = (z_1, \dots, z_g; z_{g+1}, \dots, z_r)$$

of $\mathbb{C}^r$. If $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_q)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_p)$ are coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^q$ and $\mathbb{C}^p$ respectively, then (z, ζ, w) are coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^\pi$. Any $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ has the representation $\gamma = (\gamma', \gamma'')$ in toroidal coordinates. We set

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_g & \tau \\ R_1 & R_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g}).$$

We denote by Λ_τ the lattice in $\mathbb{C}^g$ generated by $(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_{2g}) = (I_g \ \tau)$. There exists an isomorphism from Λ_τ into Γ_0 defined by

$$\iota(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^{2g} k_j \gamma_j$$

for any $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{2g} k_j \gamma'_j$. We write $\mathbf{e}(t) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, we set $\mathbf{e}(z'') := \mathbf{e}(z_{g+1}) \cdots \mathbf{e}(z_r)$ for $z'' = (z_{g+1}, \dots, z_r)$. We define a homomorphism

$$\psi : \Lambda_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^\times = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; |t| = 1\}$$

by $\psi(\lambda) := \mathbf{e}(\iota(\lambda)'')$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\tau$. We set $m := r - g$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. If we define $\psi^{-\alpha}(\lambda) := \psi(\lambda)^{-\alpha}$, then $\psi^{-\alpha} : \Lambda_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^\times$ is also a homomorphism.

Let $\overline{A} = \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^q \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^p$ be the standard compactification of A . We set

$$\text{Mer}(\overline{A})|_A := \{f|_A; f \in \text{Mer}(\overline{A})\},$$

where $f|_A$ is the restriction of f onto A .

Proposition 7.1. *Any $F \in \varphi^*(\text{Mer}(\overline{A})|_A)$ extends meromorphically to $X^{(\pi)}$. Then we can consider $\varphi^*(\text{Mer}(\overline{A})|_A)$ as a subfield of $\text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)})$.*

Proof. We use the above notations. First we consider $g \in \text{Mer}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})|_{\mathcal{Q}}$. There exists a theta factor ϱ_0 of an abelian variety $\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda_\tau$ such that if we denote by $\Theta(\psi^{-\alpha})$ the space of all theta functions for $\psi^{-\alpha}\varrho_0$, then we have the representation of g as

$$g(z) = \frac{\sum_{\text{finite sum}} D_\beta(z') \mathbf{e}(z'')^\beta}{\sum_{\text{finite sum}} C_\alpha(z') \mathbf{e}(z'')^\alpha}, \quad C_\alpha \in \Theta(\psi^{-\alpha}), \quad D_\beta \in \Theta(\psi^{-\beta})$$

([3]).

Any $f \in \text{Mer}(\overline{A})|_A$ is a rational function of functions in $\text{Mer}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})|_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathbf{e}(\zeta_1), \dots, \mathbf{e}(\zeta_q)$ and $w_1, \dots, w_p$ ([3]). For any $(P_1, \dots, P_\pi) \in (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}$ we have

$$\varphi((P_1, \dots, P_\pi)) = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} \int_{P_0}^{P_i} \rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{\pi} \int_{P_0}^{P_i} \rho^* \omega_\pi \right) \right].$$

Since $\rho^* \omega_1, \dots, \rho^* \omega_g$ are holomorphic forms on X , $\int_{P_0}^P \rho^* \omega_i$ is holomorphic on X for $i = 1, \dots, g$. From Remark in Section 6.1 it follows that $\int_{P_0}^P \rho^* \omega_{g+i}$ extends meromorphically to S for i with $m+q < i \leq \delta$.

For i with $1 \leq i \leq m+q$ we show that $\mathbf{e} \left(\int_{P_0}^P \rho^* \omega_{g+i} \right)$ extends meromorphically to S . By the normalization of $\{\rho^* \omega_{g+i}\}$ we see that $\rho^* \omega_{g+i}$ is holomorphic except two points $P, P' \in S$ with

$$\text{ord}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = \text{ord}_{P'}(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = -1.$$

Let $P \in S$ be such a point. We assume

$$\text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}.$$

We can take a local coordinate t on a neighborhood U of P with $t(P) = 0$ such that

$$\rho^* \omega_{g+i} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{t} + h(t) \right) dt$$

on U , where $h(t)$ is a holomorphic function on U . Then we obtain

$$\mathbf{e} \left(\int_{P_0}^P \rho^* \omega_{g+i} \right) = t \mathbf{e}(h_1(t)) \quad \text{on } U,$$

where $h_1(t)$ is a holomorphic function. If

$$\text{Res}_P(\rho^* \omega_{g+i}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}},$$

then we obtain

$$\mathbf{e} \left(\int_{P_0}^P \rho^* \omega_{g+i} \right) = \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{e}(h_2(t))$$

in the same manner.

Thus we conclude that any function $F = \varphi^* f$ with $f \in \text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A$ extends meromorphically to $X^{(\pi)}$. $\square$

We set $\tilde{K} := (\varphi^{-1})^* (\text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)})|_{(X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}}) \subset \text{Mer}(A)$. Then the following proposition holds.

Proposition 7.2. *We have $\tilde{K} = \text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A$. Then $\text{Mer}(\bar{A}) \cong \text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.1 we have $\varphi^* (\text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A) \subset \varphi^* \tilde{K}$. Then we obtain $\text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A \subset \tilde{K}$. We note that $\tilde{K}$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Trans}_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{K} = \pi$. The field $\text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A$ admits an algebraic addition theorem (we write it shortly as (AAT)). If a field of meromorphic functions admits (AAT), then it admits (AAT*) (see [1, 3, 4] for details of an algebraic addition theorem). Then there exist $f_1, \dots, f_\pi \in \text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A$ which are algebraically independent such that they admit (AAT*). Since $\text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A \subset \tilde{K}$, the field $\tilde{K}$ also admits (AAT*). By Proposition 6.2.9 in [4], there exists an algebraic extension $\tilde{\tilde{K}}/\tilde{K}$ such that $\tilde{\tilde{K}}$ admits (AAT). By Weierstrass' statement ([3] or Theorem 6.7.1 in [4]), $\text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A$ is the maximal field which admits (AAT). Then we obtain $\text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A = \tilde{K} = \tilde{\tilde{K}}$. $\square$

Theorem 7.3. *Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g . Consider singular curves $X_{\mathbf{m}_1}$ and $X_{\mathbf{m}_2}$ with the same genus $\pi = g + \delta$ constructed from X . Let $\overline{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_1})}$ and $\overline{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_2})}$ be the standard compactifications of $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_1})$ and $\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_2})$ respectively. Then $\overline{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_1})}$ and $\overline{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_2})}$ are birationally equivalent.*

Proof. From Proposition 7.2 it follows that

$$\text{Mer}(\overline{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_1})}) \cong \text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)}) \cong \text{Mer}(\overline{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_2})}).$$

Then the statement holds. $\square$

7.3. Subfields admitting (AAT). Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g . Fix $\pi = g + \delta$. We take a singular curve $X_{\mathbf{m}_0}$ of genus π defined by a modulus $\mathbf{m}_0$ with support S_0 . We denote by $A = \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_0})$ the analytic Albanese variety of $X_{\mathbf{m}_0}$. We consider a subfield κ of $\text{Mer}(\bar{A})|_A$ which has the transcendence degree $\text{Trans}_{\mathbb{C}} \kappa = \pi$ and admits (AAT), where $\bar{A}$ is the standard compactification of A . Let $\varphi_0 : (X \setminus S_0)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow A$ be a bimeromorphic map as in the preceding section. Then $\varphi_0^* \kappa \subset \text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)})$ by Proposition 7.2.

Proposition 7.4. *For the above κ there exists a singular curve $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ with the projection $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{m}}$ such that $\varphi_0^* \kappa = \text{Mer}((X_{\mathbf{m}})^{(\pi)})$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : X^{(\pi)} \rightarrow X^{(\pi)}$ be the projection. We set $\tilde{\kappa} := \sigma^*(\varphi_0^* \kappa) \subset \text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)})$. We may write

$$X^{(\pi)} = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_\pi, \quad X_i = X \quad (i = 1, \dots, \pi).$$

We denote $K_i := \tilde{\kappa}|_{X_i} = \{f|_{X_i}; f \in \tilde{\kappa}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \pi$. Since any function in $\tilde{\kappa}$ is invariant by the symmetric group of degree π , we can define $K_0 := K_1 = \cdots = K_\pi$

which is a subfield of $\text{Mer}(X)$. There exist $x, y \in K_0$ such that $K_0 = \mathbb{C}(x, y)$. For any $P = (P_1, \dots, P_\pi) \in X^\pi$ we set

$$s_1(P) := - \sum_{i=1}^{\pi} x(P_i), s_2(P) := \sum_{i < j} x(P_i)x(P_j), \dots, s_\pi(P) := (-1)^\pi \prod_{i=1}^{\pi} x(P_i),$$

$$t_1(P) := - \sum_{i=1}^{\pi} y(P_i), t_2(P) := \sum_{i < j} y(P_i)y(P_j), \dots, t_\pi(P) := (-1)^\pi \prod_{i=1}^{\pi} y(P_i).$$

Then $\tilde{\kappa}$ is generated by $s_1, \dots, s_\pi, t_1, \dots, t_\pi$. Since x and y are algebraically dependent, there exists an irreducible polynomial f_0 such that $f_0(x, y) = 0$. Let C_0 be the closure of $\{f_0 = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then C_0 is a curve with the projection $\rho : X \rightarrow C_0$. We may write $C_0 = X_{\mathbf{m}}$ by a suitable modulus $\mathbf{m}$. Hence we obtain

$$\text{Mer}((X_{\mathbf{m}})^{(\pi)}) = \varphi_0^* \kappa \subset \text{Mer}(X^{(\pi)})$$

by the construction of $X_{\mathbf{m}}$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of all isomorphism classes $[\kappa]$ of subfields κ of $\text{Mer}(\overline{A})|_A$ which have $\text{Trans}_{\mathbb{C}} \kappa = \pi$ and admit (AAT). We denote by $\mathcal{S}_X^{(\pi)}$ the set of all birational equivalence classes $[X_{\mathbf{m}}]$ of singular curves $X_{\mathbf{m}}$ of genus π constructed from X . For any $[\kappa] \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists $[X_{\mathbf{m}}] \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(\pi)}$ such that $\kappa \cong \text{Mer}((X_{\mathbf{m}})^{(\pi)})$ by Proposition 7.4.

Theorem 7.5. *The above correspondence is a bijection from $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{S}_X^{(\pi)}$.*

Proof. We have already shown in Section 7.2 that for any $[X_{\mathbf{m}}] \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(\pi)}$ the class $[(\varphi^{-1})^*(\text{Mer}((X_{\mathbf{m}})^{(\pi)})|_{(X \setminus S)^{(\pi)}})]$ is in $\mathcal{K}$, where $\varphi : (X \setminus S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}})$ is the bimeromorphic map in Section 6.2 and $\overline{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}})}$ and $\overline{\text{Alb}^{an}(X_{\mathbf{m}_0})}$ are birationally equivalent. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Abe, A statement of Weierstrass on meromorphic functions which admit an algebraic addition theorem, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **57**, (2005), 709–723.
- [2] Y. Abe, Quasi-abelian functions and varieties in the sense of Severi, III, Generalized Jacobi varieties, Toyama Math. J. **31**, (2008), 17–32.
- [3] Y. Abe, Explicit representation of degenerate abelian functions and related topics, Far East J. Math. Sci. **70**, (2012), 321–336.
- [4] Y. Abe, Toroidal Groups, Yokohama Publisher, Inc., Yokohama, in press.
- [5] Y. Abe and K. Kopfermann, Toroidal Groups, LNM 1759, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 2001.
- [6] Y. Abe and T. Umeno, On quasi-abelian varieties of kind k , Kyushu J. Math. **60**, (2006), 305–316.
- [7] L. Bers, Spaces of degenerating Riemann surfaces, Ann. of Math. Studies **79**, (1974), 43–55.
- [8] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus, IHES Publ. Math. **36**, (1969), 75–109.
- [9] H. M. Farkas and I. Kra, Riemann Surfaces, GTM 71, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [10] O. Forster, Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, GTM 81, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1981.
- [11] K. Iwasawa, Algebraic Function Theory (in Japanese), Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1973.
- [12] T. Jambois, The theorem of Torelli for singular curves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **239**, (1978), 123–146.
- [13] R. Miranda, Algebraic Curves and Riemann Surfaces, Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

- [14] M. Namba, Inversion of abelian integrals (in Japanese), Proceedings of the 15th Number Theory Summer School in 2007, 191–198.
- [15] M. Rosenlicht, Generalized jacobian varieties, Ann. of Math. **59**, (1954), 505–530.
- [16] J.-P. Serre, Groupes algébriques et corps de classes, Hermann, Paris, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOYAMA, TOYAMA 930-8555, JAPAN
E-mail address: `abe@sci.u-toyama.ac.jp`